Faculty Worklife Survey 2013-2018													
			Cl	haracte	eristi	cs of I	Parti	cipants					
Response Rate													
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	018	
Total Faculty	1	,251	1	,199	1,	197	1	1,180	1	,200	1	,116	
Total Respondents		407		507	4	127		439		380	:	515	
Response Rate	32	2.53%	42	2.29%	35	.67%	3	7.20%	31	1.67%	46	.15%	
Gender													
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	018	
Male	172	42.36%	225	44.38%	186	43.97%	186	42.47%	158	41.80%	217	42.14%	
Female	234	57.64%	282	55.62%	237	56.03%	252	57.53%	206	54.50%	278	53.98%	
Transgender Male									1	0.26%	0	0.00%	
Transgender Female									0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Other									1	0.26%	2	0.39%	
Prefer not to answer									12	3.17%	18	3.50%	
Total	406	100%	507	100%	423	100%	438	100%	378	100%	515	100%	
Tenure-Track I	Position												
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	018	
Yes	268	66.34%	346	68.65%	313	73.30%	312	71.07%	288	75.79%	387	75.44%	
No	136	33.66%	158	31.35%	114	26.70%	127	28.93%	92	24.21%	126	24.56%	
Total	404	100%	504	100%	427	100%	439	100%	380	100%	513	100%	
Your Rank													
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016	2	2017	2	018	

Part-time Instructor	59	14.50%	67	13.24%	53	12.44%	61	13.99%	36	9.52%	46	9.00%
Full-time Instructor	75	18.43%	85	16.80%	54	12.68%	58	13.30%	53	14.02%	66	12.92%
Assistant Professor	88	21.62%	127	25.10%	114	26.76%	102	23.39%	83	21.96%	113	22.11%
Associate Professor	115	28.26%	140	27.67%	122	28.64%	123	28.21%	117	30.95%	159	31.12%
Professor	70	17.20%	84	17.19%	83	19.48%	92	21.10%	89	23.54%	127	24.85%
Total	407	100%	506	100%	426	100%	436	100%	378	100%	511	100%
Your Affiliation	1											
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018
College of Education and Behavioral Sciences	58	14.43%	61	12.15%	52	12.24%	58	13.52%	40	10.64%	63	12.35%
College of Health and Human Services	84	20.90%	107	21.31%	72	16.94%	87	20.28%	73	19.41%	102	20.00%
Gordon Ford College of Business	21	5.22%	34	6.77%	25	5.88%	22	5.13%	23	6.12%	38	7.45%
Ogden College of Science and Engineering	79	19.65%	100	19.92%	101	23.76%	86	20.05%	75	19.95%	97	19.02%
Potter College of Arts and Letters	107	26.62%	137	27.29%	124	29.18%	131	30.54%	126	33.51%	158	30.98%
University College	45	11.19%	48	9.56%	40	9.41%	26	6.06%	28	7.45%	33	6.47%

University Libraries	8	1.99%	15	2.99%	11	2.59%	19	4.43%	11	2.93%	19	3.73%	
Total	402	100%	502	100%	425	100%	429	100%	376	100%	510	100%	
Your responsibilities are primarily													
	2013		2	2014	2	015	, 2	2016	2	2017	2	2018	
Teaching / Research	356	88.12%	455	89.92%	381	89.44%	381	87.19%	329	87.04%	455	88.52%	
Administration	32	7.92%	35	6.92%	35	8.22%	37	8.47%	37	9.79%	42	8.17%	
Other	16	3.96%	16	3.16%	10	2.35%	19	4.35%	12	3.17%	17	3.31%	
Total	404	100%	506	100%	426	100%	437	100%	378	100%	514	100%	

Trends in Faculty Attitudes 2013-2018

Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following support services at WKU using the scale below.

	Library Resources													
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	4	2016	/ 2	2017	2	2018		
Very Satisfied	79	21.29%	115	24.63%	101	25.63%	117	29.03%	98	28.00%	137	29.27%		
Satisfied	172	46.36%	219	46.90%	174	44.16%	194	48.14%	172	49.14%	217	46.37%		
Neutral	62	16.71%	81	17.34%	67	17.01%	55	13.65%	43	12.29%	73	15.60%		
Dissatisfied	37	9.97%	40	8.57%	41	10.41%	30	7.44%	30	8.57%	33	7.05%		
Very Dissatisfied	21	5.66%	12	2.57%	11	2.79%	7	1.74%	7	2.00%	8	1.71%		
Total	371	100%	467	100%	394	100%	403	100%	350	100%	468	100%		
Availability of University-sponsored childcare														
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	4	2016	4	2017	2	2018		
Very Satisfied	3	2.73%	4	3.01%	7	5.60%	4	3.23%	4	3.96%	4	2.74%		
Satisfied	12	10.91%	16	12.03%	18	14.40%	5	4.03%	10	9.90%	13	8.90%		
Neutral	52	47.27%	61	45.86%	49	39.20%	66	53.23%	44	43.56%	67	45.89%		
Dissatisfied	22	20.00%	27	20.30%	30	24.00%	26	20.97%	20	19.80%	33	22.60%		
Very Dissatisfied	21	19.09%	25	18.80%	21	16.80%	23	18.55%	23	22.77%	29	19.86%		
Total	110	100%	133	100%	125	100%	124	100%	101	100%	146	100%		

				Pater	nity/ma	ternity lea	ve optic	ons				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	,	2017	2	018
Very Satisfied	7	5.69%	13	8.23%	13	7.60%	15	9.68%	8	6.40%	13	6.91%
Satisfied	25	20.33%	32	20.25%	28	16.37%	17	10.97%	20	16.00%	33	17.55%
Neutral	52	42.28%	69	43.67%	70	40.94%	60	38.71%	44	35.20%	69	36.70%
Dissatisfied	20	16.26%	26	16.46%	35	20.47%	34	21.94%	30	24.00%	40	21.28%
Very Dissatisfied	19	15.45%	18	11.39%	25	14.62%	29	18.71%	23	18.40%	33	17.55%
Total	123	100%	158	100%	171	100%	155	100%	125	100%	188	100%
			You	r knowledg	e of pat	ternity/mat	ernity l	leave option	S			
	2013		2	2014	2	015	4	2016	,	2017	2	018
Very Satisfied	21	13.73%	18	9.14%	31	13.66%						
Satisfied	44	28.76%	49	24.87%	71	31.28%						
Neutral	63	41.18%	80	40.61%	91	40.09%						
Dissatisfied	14	9.15%	26	13.20%	21	9.25%						
Very Dissatisfied	11	7.19%	24	12.18%	13	5.73%						
Total	153	100%	197	100%	227	100%						
]	nforma	tion techn	ology					
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	018
Very Satisfied	124	31.88%	156	31.97%	125	30.05%	145	33.96%	107	29.00%	129	25.75%
Satisfied	193	49.61%	245	50.20%	209	50.24%	207	48.48%	182	49.32%	261	52.10%
Neutral	49	12.60%	56	11.48%	49	11.78%	47	11.01%	51	13.82%	81	16.17%
Dissatisfied	16	4.11%	25	5.12%	24	5.77%	22	5.15%	24	6.50%	23	4.59%
Very Dissatisfied	7	1.80%	6	1.23%	9	2.16%	6	1.41%	5	1.36%	7	1.40%
Total	389	100%	488	100%	416	100%	427	100%	369	100%	501	100%
				Parkin	g and t	ransportat	ion serv	vices				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016		2017	2	018
Very Satisfied	36	9.57%	45	9.47%	31	7.85%	33	7.93%	24	6.74%	41	8.69%

Satisfied	142	37.77%	163	34.32%	148	37.47%	159	38.22%	137	38.48%	189	40.04%	
Neutral	76	20.21%	122	25.68%	83	21.01%	96	23.08%	84	23.60%	120	25.42%	
Dissatisfied	73	19.41%	97	20.42%	87	22.03%	94	22.60%	82	23.03%	82	17.37%	
Very Dissatisfied	49	13.03%	48	10.11%	46	11.65%	34	8.17%	29	8.15%	40	8.47%	
Total	376	100%	475	100%	395	100%	416	100%	356	100%	472	100%	
					WKU	Store serv	ices						
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	2018	
Very Satisfied	42	12.14%	58	13.49%	41	11.14%	52	13.79%	29	8.90%	54	12.36%	
Satisfied	150	43.35%	187	43.49%	139	37.77%	161	42.71%	160	49.08%	189	43.25%	
Neutral	105	30.35%	107	24.88%	105	28.53%	95	25.20%	80	24.54%	141	32.27%	
Dissatisfied	26	7.51%	47	10.93%	43	11.68%	48	12.73%	39	11.96%	35	8.01%	
Very Dissatisfied	23	6.65%	31	7.21%	40	10.87%	21	5.57%	18	5.52%	18	4.12%	
Total	346	100%	430	100%	368	100%	377	100%	326	100%	437	100%	
Campus food services													
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	2018	
Very Satisfied	26	7.56%	31	7.54%	38	10.76%	66	17.37%	35	10.14%	37	8.26%	
Satisfied	129	37.50%	169	41.12%	154	43.63%	176	46.32%	157	45.51%	179	39.96%	
Neutral	88	25.58%	121	29.44%	87	24.65%	87	22.89%	89	25.80%	129	28.79%	
Dissatisfied	64	18.60%	64	15.57%	54	15.30%	35	9.21%	47	13.62%	79	17.63%	
Very Dissatisfied	37	10.76%	26	6.33%	20	5.67%	16	4.21%	17	4.93%	24	5.36%	
Total	344	100%	411	100%	353	100%	380	100%	345	100%	448	100%	
Please rate you	r sense (of general f	aculty n	norale at W	KU usi	ng the scal	le below	v. In my opi	nion, fac	ulty morale	is		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	2018	
Very Good	8	2.29%	19	4.40%	9	2.45%	4	1.07%	6	1.86%	6	1.35%	
Good	159	45.56%	177	40.97%	148	40.22%	103	27.54%	70	21.67%	67	15.06%	
Poor	121	34.67%	165	38.19%	147	39.95%	167	44.65%	143	44.27%	184	41.35%	
Very Poor	61	17.48%	71	16.44%	64	17.39%	100	26.74%	104	32.20%	188	42.25%	

Total	349	100%	432	100%	368	100%	374	100%	323	100%	445	100%		
The statements	below a	are about fa	culty w	orkload an	d work	load satisfa	action. 1	Rate your le	vel of ag	greement wi	th each	statement.		
			M	y teaching	load is	reasonable	and m	anageable.						
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	4	2016	2	2017	2	2018		
Strongly Agree	44	12.61%	73	15.43%	59	14.57%	60	14.71%	50	13.93%	80	16.60%		
Agree	172	49.28%	237	50.11%	227	56.05%	216	52.94%	188	52.37%	245	50.83%		
Neutral	66	18.91%	59	12.47%	43	10.62%	42	10.29%	41	11.42%	43	8.92%		
Disagree	40	11.46%	81	17.12%	58	14.32%	72	17.65%	60	16.71%	85	17.63%		
Strongly Disagree	27	7.74%	23	4.86%	18	4.44%	18	4.41%	20	5.57%	29	6.02%		
Total	349	100%	473	100%	405	100%	408	100%	359	100%	482	100%		
My service load is reasonable and manageable.														
	2013		2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018		
Strongly Agree	44	12.61%	39	8.90%	45	11.60%	42	10.85%	39	11.05%	65	13.95%		
Agree	172	49.28%	257	58.68%	205	52.84%	208	53.75%	167	47.31%	235	50.43%		
Neutral	66	18.91%	58	13.24%	61	15.72%	46	11.89%	57	16.15%	60	12.88%		
Disagree	40	11.46%	51	11.64%	54	13.92%	63	16.28%	62	17.56%	69	14.81%		
Strongly Disagree	27	7.74%	33	7.53%	23	5.93%	28	7.24%	28	7.93%	37	7.94%		
Total	349	100%	438	100%	388	100%	387	100%	353	100%	466	100%		
			M	y research	load is	reasonable	and m	anageable.						
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	4	2016	2	2017	2	2018		
Strongly Agree	28	9.15%	38	10.11%	33	9.73%	36	10.56%	38	12.46%	63	15.04%		
Agree	140	45.75%	178	47.34%	155	45.72%	172	50.44%	166	54.43%	225	53.70%		
Neutral	73	23.86%	81	21.54%	85	25.07%	83	24.34%	52	17.05%	65	15.51%		
Disagree	44	14.38%	62	16.49%	45	13.27%	37	10.85%	39	12.79%	54	12.89%		
Strongly Disagree	21	6.86%	17	4.52%	21	6.19%	13	3.81%	10	3.28%	12	2.86%		
Total	306	100%	376	100%	339	100%	341	100%	305	100%	419	100%		
		Cor	siderin	g all of my	respons	sibilities, m	y work	load is man	ageable.					

	2	2013		2014	2	015	,	2016	<i>'</i>	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							40	9.73%	35	9.46%	67	13.67%
Agree							204	49.64%	164	44.32%	223	45.51%
Neutral							63	15.33%	58	15.68%	69	14.08%
Disagree							72	17.52%	79	21.35%	92	18.78%
Strongly							22	7.700/	24	0.100/	20	7.060/
Disagree							32	7.79%	34	9.19%	39	7.96%
Total							411	100%	370	100%	490	100%
				Му	workle	oad is satis	factory	•				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	/ 4	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	41	10.73%	49	10.27%	48	11.82%	43	10.31%	34	9.32%	67	13.67%
Agree	174	45.55%	218	45.70%	178	43.84%	193	46.28%	150	41.10%	215	43.88%
Neutral	58	15.18%	78	16.35%	68	16.75%	61	14.63%	62	16.99%	64	13.06%
Disagree	67	17.54%	95	19.92%	75	18.47%	89	21.34%	86	23.56%	105	21.43%
Strongly	42	10.99%	37	7.76%	37	9.11%	31	7.43%	33	9.04%	39	7.96%
Disagree	42	10.9970	31	7.70%	31	9.1170	31	7.4370	33	9.04%	39	7.90%
Total	382	100%	477	100%	406	100%	417	100%	365	100%	490	100%
		I unde	rstand t	he procedu	re by w	hich work	load is	determined	in my u	nit.		
	2	2013	4	2014	2	015	,	2016	/ 4	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	47	12.63%	66	14.29%	55	13.89%	62	15.66%	46	13.07%	72	15.06%
Agree	164	44.09%	215	46.54%	184	46.46%	180	45.45%	144	40.91%	217	45.40%
Neutral	53	14.25%	54	11.69%	54	13.64%	52	13.13%	52	14.77%	55	11.51%
Disagree	65	17.47%	71	15.37%	57	14.39%	60	15.15%	76	21.59%	82	17.15%
Strongly	43	11.56%	56	12.12%	46	11.62%	42	10.61%	34	9.66%	52	10.88%
Disagree	43	11.30%	30	12.1270	40	11.0270	42	10.0170	34	9.00%	32	10.6670
Total	372	100%	462	100%	396	100%	396	100%	352	100%	478	100%
		Un	iversity	procedure	s for est	ablishing v	workloa	ad are appro	priate.			
	2013 2014					015	,	2016		2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	20	5.87%	26	6.30%	30	8.50%	26	10.79%	17	7.73%	21	6.95%
Agree	90	26.39%	136	32.93%	108	30.59%	79	32.78%	56	25.45%	88	29.14%

Neutral	91	26.69%	98	23.73%	82	23.23%	47	19.50%	54	24.55%	68	22.52%
Disagree	81	23.75%	95	23.00%	89	25.21%	61	25.31%	59	26.82%	71	23.51%
Strongly Disagree	59	17.30%	58	14.04%	44	12.46%	28	11.62%	34	15.45%	54	17.88%
Total	341	100%	413	100%	353	100%	241	100%	220	100%	302	100%
The statements	below a	are about te	enure, p	romotion a	nd mer	it increases	s. Rate	your level of	agreem	ent with eac	ch staten	nent.
	I	have a clea	r under	standing of	`what I	need to ac	compli	sh for tenur	e and pr	omotion.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016	/ 4	2017	2	018
Strongly Agree	38	12.93%	79	20.68%	69	20.23%						
Agree	126	42.86%	170	44.50%	146	42.82%						
Neutral	46	15.65%	45	11.78%	47	13.78%						
Disagree	50	17.01%	63	16.49%	50	14.66%						
Strongly Disagree	34	11.56%	25	6.54%	29	8.50%						
Total	294	100%	382	100%	341	100%						
		I hav	e a clea	r understai	nding o	f what is re	equired	for tenure o	lecisions	S.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016		2017	2	.018
Strongly Agree							76	22.42%	71	22.76%	94	22.65%
Agree							169	49.85%	144	46.15%	207	49.88%
Neutral							29	8.55%	26	8.33%	39	9.40%
Disagree							45	13.27%	45	14.42%	53	12.77%
Strongly							20	5.90%	26	8.33%	22	5.30%
Disagree							20	3.90%	20	0.3370	22	3.30%
Total							339	100%	312	100%	415	100%
		I have	a clear	understand	ing of v	vhat is req	uired fo	or promotio	n decisio	ns.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016	4	2017	2	.018
Strongly Agree							69	19.33%	61	18.54%	82	18.68%
Agree							151	42.30%	141	42.86%	208	47.38%
Neutral							45	12.61%	25	7.60%	56	12.76%

Disagree							62	17.37%	65	19.76%	65	14.81%
Strongly							30	8.40%	37	11.25%	28	6.38%
Disagree							30	8.40%	31	11.23%	28	0.38%
Total							357	100%	329	100%	439	100%
		,	Tenure	and promo	tion pro	ocedures at	WKU	are transpa	rent.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	018
Strongly Agree	21	6.69%	47	12.08%	47	13.43%						
Agree	96	30.57%	138	35.48%	102	29.14%						
Neutral	70	22.29%	70	17.99%	70	20.00%						
Disagree	82	26.11%	93	23.91%	87	24.86%						
Strongly	45	14.33%	41	10.54%	44	12.57%						
Disagree	45	14.33%	41	10.54%	44	12.57%						
Total	314	100%	389	100%	350	100%						
				Tenure pro	cedures	s at WKU a	are tran	sparent.				
	2013 2014		2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	018		
Strongly Agree							39	11.37%	31	9.84%	59	14.32%
Agree							123	35.86%	113	35.87%	165	40.05%
Neutral							69	20.12%	68	21.59%	84	20.39%
Disagree							74	21.57%	61	19.37%	65	15.78%
Strongly							38	11.08%	42	13.33%	39	9.47%
Disagree							36	11.06%	42	13.33%	39	9.47%
Total							343	100%	315	100%	412	100%
			P	romotion p	rocedur	es at WKU	J are tra	ansparent.				
	2	2013	4	2014	2	015	4	2016	4	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							35	9.92%	31	9.51%	56	12.96%
Agree							117	33.14%	96	29.45%	165	38.19%
Neutral							70	19.83%	71	21.78%	87	20.14%
Disagree							90	25.50%	75	23.01%	79	18.29%
Strongly							41	11.61%	53	16.26%	45	10.42%
Disagree							41	11.01%	<i></i>	10.20%	43	1U.42%
Total							353	100%	326	100%	432	100%

	I am aware of the criteria for merit increases in my department.													
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	.018		
Strongly Agree	19	5.81%	23	5.93%	19	5.48%	13	4.35%	9	3.24%	23	6.23%		
Agree	57	17.43%	75	19.33%	44	12.68%	34	11.37%	30	10.79%	40	10.84%		
Neutral	43	13.15%	45	11.60%	37	10.66%	35	11.71%	25	8.99%	43	11.65%		
Disagree	90	27.52%	105	27.06%	111	31.99%	80	26.76%	78	28.06%	101	27.37%		
Strongly Disagree	118	36.09%	140	36.08%	136	39.19%	137	45.82%	136	48.92%	162	43.90%		
Total	327	100%	388	100%	347	100%	299	100%	278	100%	369	100%		
I am satisfied with the merit increase process.														
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	4	2016	2	2017	2	018		
Strongly Agree	11	3.64%	13	3.63%	9	2.87%	4	1.35%	5	1.90%	14	4.12%		
Agree	33	10.93%	44	12.29%	19	6.05%	17	5.74%	13	4.94%	23	6.76%		
Neutral	46	15.23%	50	13.97%	49	15.61%	35	11.82%	32	12.17%	45	13.24%		
Disagree	68	22.52%	78	21.79%	81	25.80%	57	19.26%	53	20.15%	60	17.65%		
Strongly Disagree	144	47.68%	173	48.32%	157	50.00%	183	61.82%	160	60.84%	198	58.24%		
Total	302	100%	358	100%	314	100%	296	100%	263	100%	340	100%		

The statements below are about instructor and course evaluations (Sites), service, rank and overall job satisfaction. Rate your level of agreement with each statement.

The current form of instructor and course evaluation (SITEs) provides effective information about teaching effectiveness.

	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	6	1.62%	17	3.76%	8	2.03%	15	3.93%	8	2.30%	8	1.71%
Agree	76	20.54%	89	19.69%	79	20.00%	65	17.02%	57	16.38%	78	16.70%
Neutral	72	19.46%	71	15.71%	62	15.70%	59	15.45%	65	18.68%	99	21.20%
Disagree	105	28.38%	129	28.54%	121	30.63%	109	28.53%	105	30.17%	139	29.76%
Strongly Disagree	111	30.00%	146	32.30%	125	31.65%	134	35.08%	113	32.47%	143	30.62%
Total	370	100%	452	100%	395	100%	382	100%	348	100%	467	100%

		Iı	receive a	appropriate	and co	nstructive	feedba	ck about tea	ching.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	13	3.50%	25	5.46%	17	4.28%						
Agree	110	29.65%	144	31.44%	120	30.23%						
Neutral	99	26.68%	105	22.93%	111	27.96%						
Disagree	95	25.61%	109	23.80%	94	23.68%						
Strongly Disagree	54	14.56%	75	16.38%	55	13.85%						
Total	371	100%	458	100%	397	100%						
		I	receive	constructiv	ve feedb	oack about	teachir	ng from stud	lents.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016		2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							34	8.70%	21	5.97%	33	6.98%
Agree							145	37.08%	135	38.35%	166	35.10%
Neutral							76	19.44%	72	20.45%	126	26.64%
Disagree							92	23.53%	74	21.02%	95	20.08%
Strongly Disagree							44	11.25%	50	14.20%	53	11.21%
Total							391	100%	352	100%	473	100%
		I rece	ive cons	structive fee	edback	about teacl	hing fro	om departm	ent head	ls.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							36	9.50%	22	6.38%	29	6.33%
Agree							131	34.56%	113	32.75%	155	33.84%
Neutral							82	21.64%	73	21.16%	108	23.58%
Disagree							73	19.26%	69	20.00%	95	20.74%
Strongly							57	15.04%	68	19.71%	71	15.50%
Disagree												
Total							379	100%	345	100%	458	100%
								g from colle				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016		2017		2018
Strongly Agree							40	11.08%	26	7.83%	35	7.85%

Agree							144	39.89%	121	36.45%	184	41.26%
Neutral							85	23.55%	83	25.00%	106	23.77%
Disagree							54	14.96%	61	18.37%	75	16.82%
Strongly Disagree							38	10.53%	41	12.35%	46	10.31%
Total							361	100%	332	100%	446	100%
	I am	afforded a	n appro	priate degr	ee of au	itonomy w	ith rega	rd to my ar	eas of re	sponsibility		
		2013		2014		015		2016		2017		2018
Strongly Agree	115	30.50%	139	29.70%	119	29.46%	130	32.34%	110	30.64%	144	29.81%
Agree	187	49.60%	247	52.78%	217	53.71%	205	51.00%	199	55.43%	256	53.00%
Neutral	43	11.41%	41	8.76%	26	6.44%	33	8.21%	25	6.96%	48	9.94%
Disagree	20	5.31%	24	5.13%	28	6.93%	20	4.98%	13	3.62%	23	4.76%
Strongly Disagree	12	3.18%	17	3.63%	14	3.47%	14	3.48%	12	3.34%	12	2.48%
Total	377	100%	468	100%	404	100%	402	100%	359	100%	483	100%
		F	Relative	to years of	service	and rank	my sala	ry is satisfac	ctory.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	, ,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree			16	3.45%	9	2.23%	15	3.71%				
Agree			87	18.75%	63	15.59%	47	11.63%				
Neutral			61	13.15%	52	12.87%	50	12.38%				
Disagree			129	27.80%	107	26.49%	93	23.02%				
Strongly Disagree			171	36.85%	173	42.82%	199	49.26%				
Total			464	100%	404	100%	404	100%				
				Overal	l, I hav	e high job	satisfac	tion.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	/ 4	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	35	9.19%	47	10.00%	35	8.68%	35	8.60%	30	8.24%	45	9.28%
Agree	133	34.91%	167	35.53%	131	32.51%	122	29.98%	137	37.64%	186	38.35%
Neutral	85	22.31%	103	21.91%	83	20.60%	80	19.66%	92	25.27%	102	21.03%
Disagree	88	23.10%	89	18.94%	99	24.57%	115	28.26%	60	16.48%	93	19.18%

Strongly Disagree		.0		.50%		4		.62%		55		65%		5		51%		5		36%		9		16%
Total	38	81	10	00%	4′	70	1(00%	40	03	10	0%	40)7	10	0%	30	54	10	0%	48	85	10	0%
On average, ho	w m	any	crec	dit hou	rs d	o yo	u tea	ach pe	r yea	ar?														
		2	013			2	014			20)15			2	2016			2	2017			2	018	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Fall Term	382	9	4.2	0-24	474	8.8	4.1	0-21	405	8.4	3.9	0-15	415	8.4	4.32	0-21	365	8.9	4.12	0-24	476	9.1	3.94	0-24
Spring Term	383	8.7	4.1	0-24	474	8.5	4.1	0-21	405	8.1	3.9	0-18	416	8.2	4.23	0-21	365	8.6	3.97	0-24	477	8.9	3.93	0-24
Summer Term	383	2.3	3.2	0-24	473	2.3	2.9	0-12	404	2.2	2.8	0-12	416	2.2	2.96	0-15	365	2.1	2.92	0-15	410	2.5	2.75	0-15
January Term	382	0.7	1.8	0-24	473	0.7	1.3	0-12	405	0.7	1.2	0-6	416	0.7	1.36	0-10	366	0.6	1.24	0-6	384	0.8	1.39	0-9
Per week, abou	ıt ho	w m	any	hours	do y	ou d	levo	te to to	each	ing,	inclı	ıding	gpre	para	ation,	gradi	ng a	nd o	ffice l	hours	?			
		2	013			2	014			20	15			2	2016			2	2017			2	018	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Teaching	377	9.8	6	0-55.5	463	9.8	5.9	0-40	404	9	4.8	0-26	414	9.1	5.8	0-40	363	9.9	6.71	0-70	465	9.8	5.59	0-40
Teaching Preparation	378	9.8	7	0-45	463	10	7.4	0-55	404	9.6	6.5	0-36	414	9.1	7.29	0-48	364	8.9	6.69	0-50	465	9.6	7.00	0-40
Grading					464	6	4.9	0-25	404	6.2	5.2	0-36	414	6	5.28	0-40	364	6.3	5.03	0-30	465	6.9	5.42	0-35
Office Hours	378	7.5	6.9	0-60	463	7.2	6.8	0-50	404	6.8	6.2	0-42	414	6.9	6.91	0-40	364	7	6.39	0-40	463	6.5	5.02	0-40
Per week, abou	ıt ho	w m	any	hours	do y	ou c	levo	te to s	ervio	e (d	epar	tmer	ıtal,	colle	ege, u	nivers	sity, (or ot	ther)?	ı				
		2	013			2	014			20)15			2	2016			2	2017			2	018	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Departmental Service	371	4.3	6.5	0-50	462	4.6	6.5	0-45	402	4	5.1	0-33	414	4.3	5.85	0-40	363	4.8	6.64	0-40	458	4.8	5.95	0-40
Contege	370	1.4	2.5	0-30	460	1.5	2.4	0-20	402	1.6	2.4	0-20	414	1.8	4.47	0-50	362	1.5	2.44	0-30	437	1.9	3.1	0-40
University Service	371	1.6	4.3	0-40	461	1.5	3	0-37.5	401	1.9	3.9	0-60	415	1.7	3.67	0-40	361	1.9	4.08	0-50	433	2	3.61	0-40
Other Service	369	2.3	4.1	0-40	461	2.4	4.6	0-37.5	401	2.6	4.7	0-40	412	2.2	3.85	0-40	363	2.7	4.65	0-50	412	2.7	3.68	0-20
Per week, abou	ıt ho	w m	any	hours	do y	ou c	levo	te to r	esea	rch/s	cho	larsh	ip/c	reati	ve ac	tivitie	s?							
		2	013			2	014			20)15			2	2016			2	2017			2	018	

	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Research/Schol																								
arship/Creative 3	368	6.6	7.1	0-48	458	6.9	7.6	0-40	401	7.5	7.7	0-40	414	7.1	7.37	0-40	362	7.4	8.00	0-50	455	7.8	8.55	0-100
Activities																								
								•	•			4												
			012					am iı	itori			ıt car	npu						017				010	
~		2	013			20	014			20)15			- 2	2016				2017				018	
Strongly Agree																						6		05%
Agree																						67		94%
Neutral																						01		30%
Disagree																					3	9	8.6	51%
Strongly																					1	0	2.2	21%
Disagree																								
Total																					4.	53	10	0%
								Univ	ersit	•		shar	ed g	•		e.								
		2	013			20	014			20	15			2	2016			2	2017				018	
Strongly Agree	9		2.7	77%	1	1	5.5	56%	1	0	2.8	1%	8	8	2.2	7%	(5	1.8	88%	1	.0	2.2	26%
Agree	9'	7	29.	85%	1	10	55.:	56%	10)3	28.9	93%	1(01	28.	59%	7	7	24.0	06%	1	15	26.	02%
Neutral	8′	7	26.	77%	1	13	57.	07%	10)3	28.9	93%	9	8	27.	84%	8	8	27.5	50%	1:	51	34.	16%
Disagree	7	7	23.	69%	10	07	54.0	04%	9	1	25.5	56%	9	7	27.:	56%	8	5	26.5	56%	1.	20	27.	15%
Strongly	5:	5	16	92%	5	7	20 /	79%	1	.9	12 ′	76%	1	.8	12	54%	6	54	20.0	00%	/	-6	10	41%
Disagree	٦.	<i></i>	10.	9270		' /	20.	1970	4	.9	13.	7070	4	.0	13.	J 4 70	0	'+	20.0	JU 70	4	-0	10.4	+1 70
Total	32	25	10	00%	19	98	10	0%	3.5	56	10	0%	35	52	10	0%	32	20	10	0%	4	42	10	0%
Faculty 1	mer	nbe	rs ca	n exp	ress	disse	ntin	g viev	s on	uni	vers	ity go	ver	nanc	ce wit	hout f	ear (of in	timid	ation	or r	epris	al.	
		2	013			20	014			20)15			2	2016			2	2017			2	018	
Strongly Agree	2	1	6.3	31%	2	0	4.9	94%	2	5	7.0	2%	1	4	3.9	9%	1	2	3.7	7%	2	20	4.7	7%
0,5 0		_	27	63%	10	08	26	67%	9	7	27.2	25%	10)9	31.0	05%	8	3	26.	10%	1	37	32.	70%
Agree	92	2	21.	05%	1,	00		0,,0		-														7070
	92 7			12%		4		21%		6		54%		'9	22.:	51%	7	7	24.2	21%		4		43%

Strongly	53	15.92%	67	16.54%	58	16.29%	53	15.10%	61	19.18%	61	14.56%
Disagree		10.9270				10.2770		12.10,0		19.1070		1 11.5 0 70
Total	333	100%	405	100%	356	100%	351	100%	318	100%	419	100%
				University	decisio decisio	n making	is trans	sparent.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree											8	1.81%
Agree											47	10.61%
Neutral											122	27.54%
Disagree											176	39.73%
Strongly Disagree											90	20.32%
Total											443	100%
		Aca	demic a	dministrato	ors resp	ond exped	itiously	to faculty c	oncerns	•		
	2	2013		2014		015		2016		2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	11	3.29%	15	3.65%	14	3.89%	15	4.18%	10	3.03%	12	2.86%
Agree	75	22.46%	102	24.82%	71	19.72%	79	22.01%	58	17.58%	89	21.19%
Neutral	83	24.85%	94	22.87%	95	26.39%	83	23.12%	97	29.39%	123	29.29%
Disagree	91	27.25%	120	29.20%	105	29.17%	114	31.75%	90	27.27%	126	30.00%
Strongly Disagree	74	22.16%	80	19.46%	75	20.83%	68	18.94%	75	22.73%	70	16.67%
Total	334	100%	411	100%	360	100%	359	100%	330	100%	420	100%
	Acade	emic admin	istrator	s respond e	xpeditio	ously to the	e need f	or action in	instituti	onal matter	S.	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	16	4.95%	14	3.60%	7	1.98%	12	3.40%	9	2.84%	10	2.40%
Agree	78	24.15%	102	26.22%	67	18.98%	87	24.65%	46	14.51%	73	17.51%
Neutral	90	27.86%	111	28.53%	120	33.99%	91	25.78%	99	31.23%	146	35.01%
Disagree	75	23.22%	95	24.42%	98	27.76%	98	27.76%	88	27.76%	118	28.30%
Strongly Disagree	64	19.81%	67	17.22%	61	17.28%	65	18.41%	75	23.66%	70	16.79%
Total	323	100%	389	100%	353	100%	353	100%	317	100%	417	100%
T	he facul	lty has a str	ong inf	luence on th	ne selec	tion and in	the eva	aluation of a	cademic	e administra	itors.	

	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	/	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	7	2.16%	7	1.75%	6	1.69%						
Agree	50	15.43%	66	16.54%	41	11.58%						
Neutral	89	27.47%	93	23.31%	75	21.19%						
Disagree	83	25.62%	126	31.58%	126	35.59%						
Strongly Disagree	95	29.32%	107	26.82%	106	29.94%						
Total	324	100%	399	100%	354	100%						
		The facul	ty has a	strong infl	uence o	n the selec	tion of	academic ad	lministr	ators.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							8	2.33%	6	1.82%	5	1.18%
Agree							40	11.63%	23	6.99%	41	9.72%
Neutral							78	22.67%	43	13.07%	93	22.04%
Disagree							109	31.69%	104	31.61%	147	34.83%
Strongly Disagree							109	31.69%	153	46.50%	136	32.23%
Total							344	100%	329	100%	422	100%
		The facult	y has a	strong influ	ience in	the evalua	ation of	academic a	dministı	rators.		
			-				/	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							9	2.60%	6	1.87%	7	1.67%
Agree							51	14.74%	39	12.15%	68	16.27%
Neutral							78	22.54%	68	21.18%	104	24.88%
Disagree							113	32.66%	102	31.78%	134	32.06%
Strongly Disagree							95	27.46%	106	33.02%	105	25.12%
Total							346	100%	321	100%	418	100%
1 5 1 1 1]	I have a rol	e in the	governanc			-			
		2013		2014		015	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	18	5.49%	20	5.06%	22	6.16%	23	6.71%	14	4.29%	18	4.30%
Agree	97	29.57%	125	31.65%	102	28.57%	85	24.78%	69	21.17%	119	28.40%

Neutral	77	23.48%	96	24.30%	87	24.37%	87	25.36%	87	26.69%	107	25.54%
Disagree	68	20.73%	82	20.76%	78	21.85%	91	26.53%	74	22.70%	98	23.39%
Strongly Disagree	68	20.73%	72	18.23%	68	19.05%	57	16.62%	82	25.15%	77	18.38%
Total	328	100%	395	100%	357	100%	343	100%	326	100%	419	100%
		I trust t	he leade	ership team	in my	college (De	an, Ass	ociate/Assis	tant Dea	ns).		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	4	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	90	25.71%	99	22.86%	87	22.60%	76	19.59%	49	14.12%	72	16.14%
Agree	127	36.29%	174	40.18%	150	38.96%	155	39.95%	126	36.31%	150	33.63%
Neutral	59	16.86%	76	17.55%	74	19.22%	75	19.33%	62	17.87%	87	19.51%
Disagree	33	9.43%	39	9.01%	35	9.09%	42	10.82%	37	10.66%	59	13.23%
Strongly Disagree	41	11.71%	45	10.39%	39	10.13%	40	10.31%	73	21.04%	78	17.49%
Total	350	100%	433	100%	385	100%	388	100%	347	100%	446	100%
				I trust th	e leade	rship in my	y depar	tment.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	102	28.81%	117	26.41%	117	30.47%	118	30.10%	87	24.72%	120	26.43%
Agree	117	33.05%	154	34.76%	120	31.25%	139	35.46%	123	34.94%	151	33.26%
Neutral	55	15.54%	71	16.03%	60	15.63%	49	12.50%	47	13.35%	68	14.98%
Disagree	30	8.47%	42	9.48%	33	8.59%	36	9.18%	34	9.66%	54	11.89%
Strongly Disagree	50	14.12%	59	13.32%	54	14.06%	50	12.76%	61	17.33%	61	13.44%
Total	354	100%	443	100%	384	100%	392	100%	352	100%	454	100%
		(Overall,	the governa	ance sy	stem of my	depart	ment is effe	ctive.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	75	21.37%	66	15.03%	75	19.69%	80	20.62%	60	17.24%	77	17.19%
Agree	114	32.48%	177	40.32%	136	35.70%	140	36.08%	109	31.32%	157	35.04%
Neutral	74	21.08%	85	19.36%	82	21.52%	78	20.10%	72	20.69%	78	17.41%
Disagree	39	11.11%	53	12.07%	39	10.24%	49	12.63%	46	13.22%	75	16.74%
Strongly Disagree	49	13.96%	58	13.21%	49	12.86%	41	10.57%	61	17.53%	61	13.62%

Total	351	100%	439	100%	381	100%	388	100%	348	100%	448	100%
The statements	below a	are about th	ie Presid	dent's perfo	ormance	e. Please ra	ite your	level of agr	eement	with each s	tatement	
					Gary	Ransdell					Timotl	ıy Caboni
	Art	ticulates a c	lear vis	ion for the	future o	of the univ	ersity b	ased on its n	nission a	and values.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	/ 2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	63	18.58%	83	19.76%	59	15.69%	59	15.78%	39	11.82%	39	9.58%
Agree	174	51.33%	193	45.95%	170	45.21%	171	45.72%	138	41.82%	192	47.17%
Neutral	54	15.93%	77	18.33%	74	19.68%	61	16.31%	63	19.09%	108	26.54%
Disagree	27	7.96%	45	10.71%	46	12.23%	49	13.10%	47	14.24%	40	9.83%
Strongly Disagree	21	6.19%	22	5.24%	27	7.18%	34	9.09%	43	13.03%	28	6.88%
Total	339	100%	420	100%	376	100%	374	100%	330	100%	407	100%
				Honors	commit	ments and	agreen	nents.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	39	13.04%	49	13.42%	38	11.66%	38	10.95%	22	7.26%	29	10.66%
Agree	125	41.81%	149	40.82%	121	37.12%	120	34.58%	86	28.38%	113	41.54%
Neutral	77	25.75%	86	23.56%	90	27.61%	81	23.34%	87	28.71%	89	32.72%
Disagree	36	12.04%	44	12.05%	48	14.72%	63	18.16%	62	20.46%	23	8.46%
Strongly Disagree	22	7.36%	37	10.14%	29	8.90%	45	12.97%	46	15.18%	18	6.62%
Total	299	100%	365	100%	326	100%	347	100%	303	100%	272	100%
Con	veys ac	curate info	rmation	about cur	rent issı	ies that ar	e impor	rtant to the f	aculty in	n a timely n	nanner.	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	41	12.42%	65	15.93%	43	11.53%	54	14.52%	34	10.27%	47	11.41%
Agree	149	45.15%	186	45.59%	159	42.63%	161	43.28%	119	35.95%	217	52.67%
Neutral	70	21.21%	79	19.36%	96	25.74%	78	20.97%	81	24.47%	89	21.60%
Disagree	43	13.03%	46	11.27%	49	13.14%	47	12.63%	53	16.01%	35	8.50%
Strongly Disagree	27	8.18%	32	7.84%	26	6.97%	32	8.60%	44	13.29%	24	5.83%
Total	330	100%	408	100%	373	100%	372	100%	331	100%	412	100%

			Is willi	ng to reeva	luate ar	nd, if neces	sary, re	etract decisio	ons.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	25	8.83%	36	10.40%	27	8.79%	33	9.94%	19	6.35%	18	8.14%
Agree	70	24.73%	93	26.88%	86	28.01%	73	21.99%	61	20.40%	81	36.65%
Neutral	90	31.80%	95	27.46%	90	29.32%	86	25.90%	82	27.42%	85	38.46%
Disagree	64	22.61%	74	21.39%	59	19.22%	78	23.49%	71	23.75%	21	9.50%
Strongly Disagree	34	12.01%	78	22.54%	45	14.66%	62	18.67%	66	22.07%	16	7.24%
Total	283	100%	346	100%	307	100%	332	100%	299	100%	221	100%
		;	Seeks ir	iput from fa	aculty n	nost direct	ly affec	ted by decis	ions.			
	2	2013	4	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	22	7.51%	23	6.50%	21	6.69%	24	7.52%	13	4.48%	32	10.46%
Agree	60	20.48%	89	25.14%	60	19.11%	49	15.36%	36	12.41%	88	28.76%
Neutral	79	26.96%	83	23.45%	81	25.80%	85	26.65%	68	23.45%	75	24.51%
Disagree	79	26.96%	79	22.32%	96	30.57%	88	27.59%	86	29.66%	62	20.26%
Strongly Disagree	53	18.09%	80	22.60%	56	17.83%	73	22.88%	87	30.00%	49	16.01%
Total	293	100%	354	100%	314	100%	319	100%	290	100%	306	100%
	Pro	ovides units	with th	ne resources	necess	ary to succ	cessfully	y execute pla	ns and	initiatives.		
	2	2013	/ 2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	22	7.36%	25	6.78%	20	6.13%	22	6.57%	12	4.05%	17	5.99%
Agree	80	26.76%	92	24.93%	73	22.39%	57	17.01%	41	13.85%	45	15.85%
Neutral	86	28.76%	97	26.29%	86	26.38%	77	22.99%	82	27.70%	102	35.92%
Disagree	67	22.41%	93	25.20%	91	27.91%	103	30.75%	82	27.70%	68	23.94%
Strongly Disagree	44	14.72%	62	16.80%	56	17.18%	76	22.69%	79	26.69%	52	18.31%
Total	299	100%	369	100%	326	100%	335	100%	296	100%	284	100%
E	Engages	s in open di	alogue [,]	with the fac	ulty on	important	curren	t issues and	is acces	sible to facu	ılty.	
	2	2013	4	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	28	8.89%	34	9.12%	30	8.93%						

Agree	99	31.43%	111	29.76%	93	27.68%						
Neutral	84	26.67%	103	27.61%	90	26.79%						
Disagree	60	19.05%	65	17.43%	77	22.92%						
Strongly Disagree	44	13.97%	60	16.09%	46	13.69%						
Total	315	100%	373	100%	336	100%						
		Engaș	ges in oj	pen dialogu	e with t	the faculty	on imp	ortant curr	ent issue	s.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	018
Strongly Agree							30	8.47%	18	5.70%	39	10.57%
Agree							105	29.66%	70	22.15%	135	36.59%
Neutral							84	23.73%	89	28.16%	106	28.73%
Disagree							77	21.75%	66	20.89%	52	14.09%
Strongly Disagree							58	16.38%	73	23.10%	37	10.03%
Total							354	100%	316	100%	369	100%
Total]	le acces	sible to fac		10070	310	10070	307	10070
		2013	7	2014		015		2016		2017	2	018
Strongly Agree		2013		2011		013	30	9.38%	20	7.02%	28	9.56%
Agree							87	27.19%	73	25.61%	91	31.06%
Neutral							88	27.50%	85	29.82%	91	31.06%
Disagree							72	22.50%	53	18.60%	45	15.36%
Strongly Disagree							43	13.44%	54	18.95%	38	12.97%
Total							320	100%	285	100%	293	100%
	Sele	cts compete	nt lead	ers and rem	oves in	competent	leaders	from admi	nistrativ	e positions.		
		2013		2014		015		2016		2017	2	018
Strongly Agree	17	5.59%	17	4.55%	25	7.20%						
Agree	51	16.78%	58	15.51%	55	15.85%						
Neutral	76	25.00%	92	24.60%	84	24.21%						
Disagree	79	25.99%	109	29.14%	92	26.51%						

Strongly	0.1	26.640/	00	26.200/	0.1	26.220/						
Disagree	81	26.64%	98	26.20%	91	26.22%						
Total	304	100%	374	100%	347	100%						
			Selec	ts competer	nt leade	ers for adm	inistrat	tive position	s.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							24	6.72%	12	3.90%	21	8.86%
Agree							83	23.25%	61	19.81%	55	23.21%
Neutral							104	29.13%	93	30.19%	109	45.99%
Disagree							70	19.61%	68	22.08%	19	8.02%
Strongly Disagree							76	21.29%	74	24.03%	33	13.92%
Total							357	100%	308	100%	237	100%
]	Remove	s incompete	ent lead	lers from a	dminist	trative posit	ions.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	7	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							26	7.90%	6	2.06%	13	5.88%
Agree							99	30.09%	59	20.27%	25	11.31%
Neutral							86	26.14%	85	29.21%	93	42.08%
Disagree							56	17.02%	66	22.68%	35	15.84%
Strongly Disagree							62	18.84%	75	25.77%	55	24.89%
Total							329	100%	291	100%	221	100%
	Wo	orks to prov	ide ade	quate pay r	aises ar	nd equitab	le comp	ensation pa	ckages f	or faculty.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	24	7.29%	20	4.93%	21	5.83%	19	5.26%	9	2.77%	18	5.88%
Agree	75	22.80%	64	15.76%	42	11.67%	34	9.42%	28	8.62%	72	23.53%
Neutral	56	17.02%	99	24.38%	79	21.94%	54	14.96%	54	16.62%	103	33.66%
Disagree	76	23.10%	94	23.15%	72	20.00%	77	21.33%	77	23.69%	54	17.65%
Strongly Disagree	98	29.79%	129	31.77%	146	40.56%	177	49.03%	157	48.31%	59	19.28%
Total	329	100%	406	100%	360	100%	361	100%	325	100%	306	100%
	I ha	eve confider	nce in th	ne President	t's lead	ership and	endors	e his continu	iance as	President.		

	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017	2	018	
Strongly Agree	60	17.70%	60	14.32%	49	13.10%	39	10.48%	25	7.89%	49	12.53%	
Agree	125	36.87%	153	36.52%	129	34.49%	118	31.72%	67	21.14%	162	41.43%	
Neutral	92	27.14%	116	27.68%	114	30.48%	117	31.45%	107	33.75%	143	36.57%	
Disagree	34	10.03%	49	11.69%	40	10.70%	45	12.10%	48	15.14%	18	4.60%	
Strongly Disagree	28	8.26%	41	9.79%	42	11.23%	53	14.25%	70	22.08%	19	4.86%	
Total	339	100%	419	100%	374	100%	372	100%	317	100%	391	100%	
The statements	below a	are about th	e Provo	st's perfor	mance.	Please rat	e your le	evel of agree	ement w	ith each stat	ement.		
			Gordo	n Emslie					Dav	id Lee			
	D	evelops and	l implei	nents plans	and po	licies that	reflect 1	the mission	of the ui	niversity.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2	2017		.018	
Strongly Agree	12	4.00%	18	4.81%	21	6.33%	49	20.76%	43	15.75%	48	13.01%	
Agree	81	27.00%	107	28.61%	96	28.92%	114	48.31%	137	50.18%	178	48.24%	
Neutral	86	28.67%	104	27.81%	100	30.12%	57	24.15%	71	26.01%	90	24.39%	
Disagree	54	18.00%	67	17.91%	62	18.67%	9	3.81%	10	3.66%	30	8.13%	
Strongly Disagree	67	22.33%	78	20.86%	53	15.96%	7	2.97%	12	4.40%	23	6.23%	
Total	300	100%	374	100%	332	100%	236	100%	273	100%	369	100%	
Con	veys ac	curate info	rmation	about curi	rent issu	ies that ar	e impor	tant to the f	aculty in	n a timely m	anner.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2016		2017		2	.018	
Strongly Agree	15	4.92%	17	4.49%	17	5.12%	61	22.18%	48	16.61%	50	12.95%	
Agree	67	21.97%	104	27.44%	68	20.48%	135	49.09%	128	44.29%	146	37.82%	
Neutral	72	23.61%	93	24.54%	102	30.72%	53	19.27%	75	25.95%	113	29.27%	
Disagree	75	24.59%	75	19.79%	81	24.40%	15	5.45%	25	8.65%	47	12.18%	
Strongly Disagree	76	24.92%	90	23.75%	64	19.28%	11	4.00%	13	4.50%	30	7.77%	
Total	305	100%	379	100%	332	100%	275	100%	289	100%	386	100%	
						and the second second		tract decisio					
	2	2013	2	2014	2	2015		2016		2017		2018	

Strongly Agree	17	5.72%	17	4.99%	16	5.26%	53	24.77%	41	17.01%	47	14.69%
Agree	80	26.94%	67	19.65%	59	19.41%	76	35.51%	107	44.40%	114	35.63%
Neutral	74	24.92%	89	26.10%	80	26.32%	62	28.97%	62	25.73%	91	28.44%
Disagree	59	19.87%	71	20.82%	77	25.33%	10	4.67%	15	6.22%	38	11.88%
Strongly Disagree	67	22.56%	97	28.45%	72	23.68%	13	6.07%	16	6.64%	30	9.38%
Total	297	100%	341	100%	304	100%	214	100%	241	100%	320	100%
				Priorit	tizes bu	dget needs	effectiv	vely.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	11	4.15%	10	2.96%	17	5.72%	45	21.53%	31	12.97%	34	10.46%
Agree	50	18.87%	53	15.68%	33	11.11%	72	34.45%	80	33.47%	107	32.92%
Neutral	90	33.96%	118	34.91%	95	31.99%	66	31.58%	83	34.73%	102	31.38%
Disagree	54	20.38%	71	21.01%	85	28.62%	12	5.74%	28	11.72%	49	15.08%
Strongly Disagree	60	22.64%	86	25.44%	67	22.56%	14	6.70%	17	7.11%	33	10.15%
Total	265	100%	338	100%	297	100%	209	100%	239	100%	325	100%
			Seeks in	put from fa	aculty n	nost direct	lly affec	ted by decis	ions.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	.015	2016 2017				2018	
Strongly Agree	13	4.44%	13	3.64%	15	4.79%	57	24.26%	43	17.00%	43	12.61%
Agree	69	23.55%	65	18.21%	51	16.29%	86	36.60%	103	40.71%	122	35.78%
Neutral	59	20.14%	76	21.29%	70	22.36%	59	25.11%	55	21.74%	79	23.17%
Disagree	62	21.16%	79	22.13%	85	27.16%	19	8.09%	33	13.04%	60	17.60%
Strongly Disagree	90	30.72%	124	34.73%	92	29.39%	14	5.96%	19	7.51%	37	10.85%
Total	293	100%	357	100%	313	100%	235	100%	253	100%	341	100%
	Pro	ovides units	s with th	ne resources	s necess	ary to suc	cessfully	y execute pla	ns and	initiatives.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016	,	2017	2018	
Strongly Agree	11	3.96%	11	3.06%	14	4.47%	42	18.67%	31	11.97%	36	10.81%
Agree	54	19.42%	54	15.04%	46	14.70%	63	28.00%	77	29.73%	93	27.93%
Neutral	84	30.22%	103	28.69%	76	24.28%	77	34.22%	81	31.27%	90	27.03%

Disagree	52	18.71%	83	23.12%	102	32.59%	27	12.00%	44	16.99%	69	20.72%
Strongly Disagree	77	27.70%	108	30.08%	75	23.96%	16	7.11%	26	10.04%	45	13.51%
Total	278	100%	359	100%	313	100%	225	100%	259	100%	333	100%
		Enga	ges in o	pen dialogu	e with 1	the faculty	on imp	ortant curr	ent issue	es.		
	2013 2014		2	015	2	2016	2017		2018			
Strongly Agree	20	6.51%	21	5.85%	17	5.21%	66	25.58%	52	18.57%	49	13.42%
Agree	79	25.73%	72	20.06%	55	16.87%	110	42.64%	118	42.14%	142	38.90%
Neutral	71	23.13%	88	24.51%	75	23.01%	53	20.54%	66	23.57%	88	24.11%
Disagree	59	19.22%	83	23.12%	90	27.61%	17	6.59%	24	8.57%	53	14.52%
Strongly Disagree	78	25.41%	108	30.08%	89	27.30%	12	4.65%	20	7.14%	33	9.04%
Total	307	100%	359	100%	326	100%	258	100%	280	100%	365	100%
]	Is acces	sible to fa	culty.					
	2013 2014			2	015	2	2016	,	2017	2018		
Strongly Agree	15	5.43%	22	6.36%	17	5.52%	82	30.48%	71	25.91%	71	20.11%
Agree	57	20.65%	64	18.50%	48	15.58%	120	44.61%	129	47.08%	161	45.61%
Neutral	64	23.19%	82	23.70%	83	26.95%	50	18.59%	45	16.42%	74	20.96%
Disagree	53	19.20%	67	19.36%	69	22.40%	8	2.97%	16	5.84%	27	7.65%
Strongly Disagree	87	31.52%	111	32.08%	91	29.55%	9	3.35%	13	4.74%	20	5.67%
Total	276	100%	346	100%	308	100%	269	100%	274	100%	353	100%
	Sele	cts compete	ent lead	ers and rem	oves in	competen	t leaders	s from admi	nistrativ	e positions.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	7	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	8	3.17%	11	3.47%	13	4.48%						
Agree	32	12.70%	40	12.62%	36	12.41%						
Neutral	86	34.13%	98	30.91%	90	31.03%						
Disagree	51	20.24%	72	22.71%	68	23.45%						
Strongly Disagree	75	29.76%	96	30.28%	83	28.62%						
21548100												

			Selec	ts compete	nt leade	ers for adn	ninistrat	tive position	S.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	2016	2017		2	2018	
Strongly Agree							55	24.55%	35	14.00%	40	12.94%	
Agree							77	34.38%	89	35.60%	96	31.07%	
Neutral							64	28.57%	72	28.80%	104	33.66%	
Disagree							10	4.46%	18	7.20%	31	10.03%	
Strongly							10	9.040/	26	1.4.400/	20	12 200/	
Disagree							18	8.04%	36	14.40%	38	12.30%	
Total							224	100%	250	100%	309	100%	
]	Remove	s incompet	ent lead	lers from a	administ	trative posit	ions.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016	2	2017	2	2018	
Strongly Agree							29	17.16%	19	9.36%	20	7.52%	
Agree							29	17.16%	34	16.75%	45	16.92%	
Neutral							72	42.60%	75	36.95%	93	34.96%	
Disagree							18	10.65%	30	14.78%	47	17.67%	
Strongly							21	12.43%	45	22.17%	61	22.93%	
Disagree Total							169	100%	203	100%	266	100%	
10141		Pour	tinoly co	meulte the	foculty	on inctitut		atters and d			200	10070	
	2	2013		2014		011 HISTITU 015		2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	11	3.75%	14	3.85%	16	5.06%	46	19.83%	31	12.02%	43	12.72%	
Agree	59	20.14%	58	15.93%	45	14.24%	78	33.62%	89	34.50%	107	31.66%	
Neutral	71	24.23%	94	25.82%	73	23.10%	80	34.48%	83	32.17%	90	26.63%	
Disagree	71	24.23%	79	21.70%	77	24.37%	14	6.03%	25	9.69%	58	17.16%	
Strongly	/ 1	24.23/0	17	21.7070	7 7	24.37/0	14	0.0370		7.07/0	36	17.1070	
Disagree	81	27.65%	119	32.69%	105	33.23%	14	6.03%	30	11.63%	40	11.83%	
Total	293	100%	364	100%	316	100%	232	100%	258	100%	338	100%	
The statements	below a	are about th	e Board	d of Regent	s' perfo	rmance. P	Please ra	te your leve	l of agre	ement with	each sta	tement	
			The B	oard of Re	gents m	akes acad	emics th	e top priori	ty.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	,	2016	7	2017	2	2018	

Strongly Agree	11	3.79%	17	4.82%	11	3.55%	15	4.67%	4	1.35%	12	3.30%
Agree	46	15.86%	58	16.43%	55	17.74%	54	16.82%	42	14.19%	34	9.34%
Neutral	69	23.79%	77	21.81%	74	23.87%	69	21.50%	53	17.91%	88	24.18%
Disagree	83	28.62%	99	28.05%	82	26.45%	87	27.10%	79	26.69%	93	25.55%
Strongly Disagree	81	27.93%	102	28.90%	88	28.39%	96	29.91%	118	39.86%	137	37.64%
Total	290	100%	353	100%	310	100%	321	100%	296	100%	364	100%
		The Boar	d respe	cts and sup	ports tl	ne faculty's	role in	institutiona	l govern	nance.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016	,	2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	13	4.71%	18	5.39%	19	6.27%	15	5.03%	6	2.16%	11	3.16%
Agree	58	21.01%	69	20.66%	59	19.47%	50	16.78%	48	17.27%	42	12.07%
Neutral	84	30.43%	81	24.25%	90	29.70%	95	31.88%	44	15.83%	95	27.30%
Disagree	67	24.28%	79	23.65%	69	22.77%	58	19.46%	77	27.70%	84	24.14%
Strongly Disagree	54	19.57%	87	26.05%	66	21.78%	80	26.85%	103	37.05%	116	33.33%
Total	276	100%	334	100%	303	100%	298	100%	278	100%	348	100%
	The B	oard has a	genuine	e interest in	seeking	g input fro	m the f	aculty, staff,	and stu	dent regent	s.	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015		2016		2017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	13	4.80%	21	6.38%	21	7.02%	20	6.78%	6	2.06%	13	3.78%
Agree	50	18.45%	51	15.50%	51	17.06%	53	17.97%	46	15.81%	37	10.76%
Neutral	70	25.83%	80	24.32%	70	23.41%	68	23.05%	51	17.53%	91	26.45%
Disagree	70	25.83%	83	25.23%	79	26.42%	71	24.07%	79	27.15%	83	24.13%
Strongly Disagree	68	25.09%	94	28.57%	78	26.09%	83	28.14%	109	37.46%	120	34.88%
Total	271	100%	329	100%	299	100%	295	100%	291	100%	344	100%
The Board	uphold	s its respon	sibility	to ensure tl	nat the i	institution'	s resou	rces are ded	icated to	o the institu	tion's m	ission.
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	11	4.07%	23	6.73%	18	5.96%	17	5.41%	6	2.11%	12	3.34%
Agree	66	24.44%	64	18.71%	59	19.54%	55	17.52%	53	18.66%	41	11.42%
Neutral	83	30.74%	103	30.12%	103	34.11%	88	28.03%	81	28.52%	102	28.41%

Disagree	56	20.74%	72	21.05%	60	19.87%	68	21.66%	53	18.66%	77	21.45%
Strongly Disagree	54	20.00%	80	23.39%	62	20.53%	86	27.39%	91	32.04%	127	35.38%
Total	270	100%	342	100%	302	100%	314	100%	284	100%	359	100%

Faculty Welfare and Professional Responsibility Report November 2018

The committee did not meet last month but we are meeting this Friday (11/30). We would like to submit the results of the Trend Study of the Faculty Worklife survey from 2013-2018. A few cells are missing but will be completed by the time of the SEC meeting.