Assuran	ce of Student Learning 2020-2021
College of Health and Human Services	Department of Social Work
# 157	Master of Social Work

Use this page	e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed informa in the subsequent pages.	tion must h	e complete
Student Leas	m the subsequent pages. ming Outcome 1: Demonstrate ethical and professional behavior.		
Instrument 1	Direct: Field Individual Learning Plan		
Instrument 2	Direct: Cultural Sensitivity Project Paper (Course-Embedded Measure)		
Instrument 3	Direct: Practice Readiness Exam		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Lean	ming Outcome 2: Engage diversity and difference in practice		
Instrument 1	Direct: Field Individual Learning Plan		
Instrument 2	Direct: Environmental Justice Project (Course-Embedded Measure)		
Instrument 3	Direct: Practice Readiness Exam		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear	rning Outcome 3: Engage in practice-informed research and research-informed practice.		
Instrument 1	Direct: Field Individual Learning Plan		
Instrument 2	Direct: Research Proposal Paper (Course-Embedded Measure)		
Instrument 3	Direct: Practice Readiness Exam		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
	ning Outcome 4: Engage in policy practice.	1	
Instrument 1	Direct: Field Individual Learning Plan		
Instrument 2	Direct: Social Policy Analysis/Formulation Paper (Course-Embedded Measure)		

Instrument 3	Direct: Practice Readiness Exam		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4.	Met	<mark>Not Met</mark>
Student Lean	rning Outcome 5: Demonstrate engagement, assessment, intervention, and evaluation skills across client pop	oulations.	L
Instrument 1	Direct: Field Individual Learning Plan		
Instrument 2	Direct: Practice Readiness Exam		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 5.	Met	<mark>Not Met</mark>
Program Sur	nmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		
heightened awar new process for the need to quar stressors. Towr	of the SLO's were met. This was an extremely challenging academic year for students, faculty, and staff due to the dual pand eness and focus on racism. Challenges included pivoting from hybrid structure to digital platforms for teaching and supporting stu- teaching and learning. Faculty mentoring of adjuncts increased which created an additional stress and workload for fulltime facult rantine, changes in job, and child- care responsibilities. Many (faculty and students) experienced both physical and emotional re- shall meetings were developed to provide needed support and resources for MSW students. Specific to SLO 5, which focuses evaluation, many field placements were disrupted as a result of the pandemic.	dents and adju y. Students we esponses to the	ncts through th ere impacted b e environmenta

		Student Learning Outcon	ne 1		
Student Learning Outcome	Demonstrate	ethical and professional behavior.			
Measurement Instrument 1	degree and statu direct client cont supervised by an the field assessm demonstration of all dimensions o	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students participate in one or two field practicum experiences, depending on their undergraduate degree and status of admission into the MSW program. Traditional students will complete two field practicum experiences (900 hours of direct client contact) and advanced standing students will complete one field practicum (500 hours of direct client contact). Students are supervised by an approved MSW-level social worker at a community agency. Students create an Individual Learning Plan, which is used as the field assessment tool that outlines competencies and behaviors the student agrees to achieve. The field assessment score is based on a demonstration of the competency in real or simulated practice situations in student's field setting. This is a performance measure assessing all dimensions of the competency including knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive and affective processes. Students are assessed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 being the minimum score a student must achieve in order to pass their field experience.			
Criteria for Student Success	Students are asse their field experi	essed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, ence.	with 3 being the minimum score a student mu	st achieve in order to pass	
Program Success Target for this		85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	90.6%	
Methods	71 students comp the student at the	ents enrolled in 560/561 (Generalist) and 660/661 pleted a field experience during the 2020-2021 aca e end of the first and second semesters in their field termine mastery of the SLO. Average of foundation	idemic year (13 Generalist; 58 Specialist). St d experience. The score at the end of the seco	udent's field supervisor rates nd semester on Competency	
Measurement Instrument 2	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students complete a Cultural Sensitivity Project over the course of their 1 st semester of the generalist year in SWRK 501: Cultural Competency in Social Work Practice. This assignment is submitted electronically on Blackboard during the 13 th week of the semester. This assignment is downloaded and graded by the instructor using the rubric. Two rubric items, specifically rubric items 6 and 7, are averaged and recorded in an Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the assessment coordinator. The assessment coordinator compiles this data and determines what percentage of students earned a minimum of 4 out of 5 on these items.				
Criteria for Student Success		rn a minimum average score of 4 on items 6 and 7			
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	57.1%	
Methods	rubric (item 6 an (exemplary). Ru coordinator. The these items. The	f record downloads and grades students Cultural S id item 7) are used to assess ethical and profession abric items 6 and 7 are averaged and recorded in an e assessment coordinator compiles this data and de- ere were 14 students enrolled in SWRK 501 during tudents achieving a minimum average score of 4 o	al behavior. Students are scored on a scale of a Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared wit etermines what percentage of students earned the Fall 2020 semester who completed the C	1 (beginning) to 5 h the assessment a minimum of 4 out of 5 on ultural Sensitivity Project.	
Measurement Instrument 3		res of student learning: Students in their final seme it with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives st			

		f social work practice. The exam contains 100 mu s. These questions were written by faculty whose			
		RE committee to ensure its applicability to social			
		uncil on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Education			
Criteria for Student Success	•	pected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to			tency 1 of
	CSWE's 2015	EPAS). The number of students who achieved 70	% or higher were divided by the 60 students w	who took the exa	m.
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	75.0)%
Methods	Direct: 60 stude	ents took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of	of students achieving a passing score overall.	There were 12 c	uestions on
	the PRE related	to ethical and professional behavior. The number	of students who achieved 70% or higher wer	e divided by the	60 students
	who took the ex	kam.			
Based on your results, circle or l	highlight whethe	r the program met the goal Student Learning C	Outcome 1.	<mark>Met</mark>	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)					
AY 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory					
Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85%			1 70% to 85%		
due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in order to					
assist them with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan in the area of Professionalism and Ethical Decision-Making. This training is available online so					
that Field Instructors are able to access resources on demand. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations.					
Measurement 3 is annually adjusted	ed by the PRE Co	mmittee to respond to the outcomes from the item	analysis for each question.		
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	e for follow-up. I	f follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvemen	t.)	
		l by June 2022. The Assessment Coordinator wil			
both the Faculty and DAC in Fall	2021. As is stand	lard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty an	nd the DAC and adjustments will be made acc	ordingly. It is an	ticipated that
the actions above will lead to a 5%	6 increase in this	SLO.			
Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Ple	ease describe your	r assessment plan timetable for this outcome)			
The Assessment coordinator will	continue to gother	data at the and of the Fall 2021 and Spring 2022	amasters Dete will be englyzed by the June	2022 data listad	abova

The Assessment coordinator will continue to gather data at the end of the Fall 2021 and Spring 2022 semesters. Data will be analyzed by the June 2022 date listed above.

	Student Learning Outcome 2
Student Learning Outcome	Engage diversity and difference in practice
Measurement Instrument 1	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students participate in one or two field practicum experiences, depending on their undergraduate degree and status of admission into the MSW program. Traditional students will complete two field practicum experiences (900 hours of direct client contact) and advanced standing students will complete one field practicum (500 hours of direct client contact). Students are supervised by an approved MSW-level social worker at a community agency. Students create an Individual Learning Plan, which is used as the field assessment tool, that outlines competencies and behaviors the student agrees to achieve. The field assessment score is based on a demonstration of the competency in real or simulated practice situations in student's field setting. This is a performance measure assessing all dimensions of the competency including knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive and affective processes. Students are assessed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 being the minimum score a student must achieve in order to pass their field experience.
Criteria for Student Success	Students are assessed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 being the minimum score a student must achieve in order to pass

	their field exper	ience.			
Program Success Target for this		85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	94.5%	
Methods	Direct: All students enrolled in 560/561 (Generalist) and 660/661 (Specialist) Field Practicums were included in this assessment. A total of 71 students completed a field experience during the 2020-2021 academic year (13 Generalist; 58 Specialist). Student's field supervisor rates the student at the end of the first and second semesters in their field experience. The score at the end of the second semester on Competency 2 was used to determine mastery of the SLO. Average of foundation-level and concentration-level scores were used.				
Measurement Instrument 2	generalist year i during the 11 th y recorded in an E determines what	res of student learning: Students complete an Envir n SWRK 510: Human Behavior in the Social Envir week of the semester. This assignment is download excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the assist t percentage of students earned a minimum of 4 out	ronment. This assignment is submitted electric led and graded by the instructor using the rule sessment coordinator. The assessment coord t of 5 on this item.	onically on Blackboard oric. Rubric item 3 is	
Criteria for Student Success Program Success Target for this		rn a minimum score of 4 on item 3 of the rubric for 70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	66.7%	
Methods	used to assess darecorded in an E determines what the Fall 2020 se	of record downloads and grades students Environm iversity and difference in practice. Students are sco Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the ass t percentage of students earned a minimum of 4 our mester who completed the Environmental Justice F tioned rubric item were divided by the 15 enrolled	bred on a scale of 1 (beginning) to 5 (exemple sessment coordinator. The assessment coord t of 5 on this item. There were 15 students en Project. The number of students achieving a	ary). Rubric item 3 is inator compiles this data and molled in SWRK 510 during	
Measurement Instrument 3	DIRECT measu (PRE) and pass content areas of licensure exams annually by a Pl forth by the Cou	res of student learning: Students in their final seme it with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives st social work practice. The exam contains 100 mult . These questions were written by faculty whose p RE committee to ensure its applicability to social w uncil on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Edu	ester of the MSW program are required to tak students the opportunity to demonstrate basic ciple choice questions in an objective format, rimary assignment is in that content area. Que vork content. These items were also mapped cational Policy and Accreditation Standards	competency in essential similar to social work lestions are reviewed back to competencies set (EPAS).	
Criteria for Student Success	Students are exp CSWE's 2015 E	bected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to deepAS).	liversity and difference in practice (i.e., items	related to Competency 2 of	
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	75.0%	
Methods	the PRE related who took the ex		er of students who achieved 70% or higher we		
Based on your results, circle or b	highlight whethe	r the program met the goal Student Learning O	utcome 2.	Met Not Met	
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	aking process and	actions planned for program improvement. The ac	ctions should include a timeline.)		

AY 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2019-2020 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Course content in core classes (SWRK 501; SWRK 612) were modified in order to emphasize anti-racism. Additionally, a new textbook was selected for SWRK 612 that emphasizes diversity and difference in practice. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. Measurement 3 is annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item analysis for each question.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that scores related to this SLO will increase by 5% as a result of these efforts.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

The Assessment Coordinator will continue to gather data at the end of the Fall 2021 and Spring 2022 semesters. Data will be analyzed by the June 2022 date listed above

		Student Learning Outcom	ne 3		
Student Learning Outcome	Engage in pra	Engage in practice-informed research and research-informed practice.			
Measurement Instrument 1	degree and status direct client cont supervised by an the field assessm demonstration of all dimensions of field supervisor of	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students participate in one or two field practicum experiences, depending on their undergraduate degree and status of admission into the MSW program. Traditional students will complete two field practicum experiences (900 hours of direct client contact) and advanced standing students will complete one field practicum (500 hours of direct client contact). Students are supervised by an approved MSW-level social worker at a community agency. Students create an Individual Learning Plan, which is used as the field assessment tool, that outlines competencies and behaviors the student agrees to achieve. The field assessment score is based on a demonstration of the competency in real or simulated practice situations in student's field setting. This is a performance measure assessing all dimensions of the competency including knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive and affective processes. Students are assessed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 being the minimum score a student must achieve in order to pass their field experience.			
Criteria for Student Success	Students are asse their field experi-	essed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, vence.	with 3 being the minimum score a student m	ust achieve in order to pass	
Program Success Target for this	ss Target for this Measurement Percent of Program Achieving Target 85% 85.0%				
Methods	71 students comp the student at the	ents enrolled in 560/561 (Generalist) and 660/661 (obleted a field experience during the 2020-2021 aca end of the first and second semesters in their field termine mastery of the SLO. Average of foundation	demic year (13 Generalist; 58 Specialist). S I experience. The score at the end of the sec	tudent's field supervisor rates ond semester on Competency	
Measurement Instrument 2	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students complete a Research Proposal paper over the course of their 1 st semester of the generalist year in SWRK 540: Foundation in Social Work Research Methods. This assignment is submitted electronically on Blackboard during the 16 th week of the semester. This assignment is downloaded and graded by the instructor using the rubric. Three rubric items, specifically rubric items 2-4, are averaged and recorded in an Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the assessment coordinator. The assessment coordinator compiles this data and determines what percentage of students earned a minimum of 4 out of 5 on these items.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students will ear	n a minimum average score of 4 on items 2-4 of th	ne rubric for the Research Proposal paper.		

2; item 3; item 4) are used to assess practice-informed research and research-informed practice. Students are scored on a scale of 1 (beginning) to 5 (exemplary). Rubric items 2-4 are averaged and recorded in an Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the assessment coordinator. The assessment coordinator compiles this data and determines what percentage of students earned a minimum out of 5 on these items. There were 16 students enrolled in SWRK 540 during the Fall 2020 senester who completed the Research Pr paper. The number of students achieving a minimum average score of 4 on the aforementioned rubric items were divided by the 16 er students. Measurement Instrument 3 DIRECT measures of student learning: Students in their final semester of the MSW program are required to take a Practice Readiness (PRE) and pass it with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives students the opportunity to demonstrate basic competency in essentia content areas of social work practice. The exam contains 100 multiple choice questions in an objective format, similar to social work licensure exams. These questions were written by faculty whose primary assignment is in that content area. Questions are reviewed annually by a PRE committee to ensure its applicability to social work content. These items were also mapped back to competencies forth by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 43.3% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 5 question the PRE related to practice-informed research-informed practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher v divided by the 60 students who took t	Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	56.	3%
(PRE) and pass it with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives students the opportunity to demonstrate basic competency in essential content areas of social work practice. The exam contains 100 multiple choice questions in an objective format, similar to social work practice. The exam contains 100 multiple choice questions in an objective format, similar to social work practice. The exam contains 100 multiple choice questions in an objective format, similar to social work content. These items were also mapped back to competencies is forth by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Criteria for Student Success Students are expected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to practice-informed research-informed practice (i.e., item related to Competency 4 of CSWE's 2015 EPAS). Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 43.3% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 5 question the PRE related to practice-informed research-informed practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher v divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met Not A2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental AC Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% ore achiptusceston. Not <	Methods	(beginning) to 5 (exemplary). Rubric items 2-4 are averaged and recorded in an Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the assessment coordinator. The assessment coordinator compiles this data and determines what percentage of students earned a minimum of 4 out of 5 on these items. There were 16 students enrolled in SWRK 540 during the Fall 2020 semester who completed the Research Proposa paper. The number of students achieving a minimum average score of 4 on the aforementioned rubric items were divided by the 16 enrolled				
related to Competency 4 of CSWE's 2015 EPAS). Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 43.3% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 5 question the PRE related to practice-informed research and research-informed practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher v divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Met No Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met No Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Met No A2 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental AC Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in cassist the with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), researce were examined for reliability and validity. Measurement 3 questions on the exam are annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item a for each question. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions		(PRE) and pass content areas of licensure exams annually by a PI forth by the Cou	it with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives a social work practice. The exam contains 100 mu . These questions were written by faculty whose RE committee to ensure its applicability to social uncil on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Ed	students the opportunity to demonstrate basic ltiple choice questions in an objective format, primary assignment is in that content area. Que work content. These items were also mapped lucational Policy and Accreditation Standards	competency in o similar to socia uestions are rev back to compet (EPAS).	essential ıl work iewed encies set
Methods 0.70% 43.3% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 5 question the PRE related to practice-informed research and research-informed practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher v divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met No Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Alternation of the Age 20-21. Age 202-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Ac Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in cassist the with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), researce were examined for reliability and validity. Measurement 3 questions on the exam are annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item a for each question. Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO b	Criteria for Student Success			practice-informed research and research-infor	med practice (i	.e., items
the PRE related to practice-informed research and research-informed practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher v divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met No Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Attemport Attemport AY 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental AC Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in c assist the with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), researc were examined for reliability and validity. Measurement 3 questions on the exam are annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item a for each question. Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in	Program Success Target for this	S Measurement	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	43.	3%
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met No Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Attions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Attions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Att Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in cassist the with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), researce were examined for reliability and validity. Measurement 3 questions on the exam are annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item a for each question. Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in	Methods	the PRE related	to practice-informed research and research-inform			
AY 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental AC Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in coassist the with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), researc were examined for reliability and validity. Measurement 3 questions on the exam are annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item a for each question. Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success.	Based on your results, circle or l			Dutcome 3.	Met	Not Met
AY 2019-20 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental AC Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, the Field Director created online trainings for Field Instructors in coassist the with objectively scoring students on their Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), researc were examined for reliability and validity. Measurement 3 questions on the exam are annually adjusted by the PRE Committee to respond to the outcomes from the item a for each question. Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success.	Actions (Describe the decision-ma	aking process and	actions planned for program improvement. The a	actions should include a timeline.)		
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success.	Committee (DAC) meeting, which due to a high number of students assist the with objectively scoring were examined for reliability and	h is scheduled for consistently meeti students on their I	Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placementing this benchmark. Additionally, for Measure 1, Individual Learning Plan. For Measurement 2 no	nt), it was decided to increase the program such , the Field Director created online trainings for one of the rubrics were adjusted. For Measure	ccess target from or Field Instruct 3 (direct PRE),	n 70% to 85% fors in order to research item
Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	Follow-Up (Provide your timeline Data are continuously collected an accordingly. It is anticipated that t improve the areas as indicated by	d will be updated hese actions will i the low percentage	by June 2022. As is standard, results will be disc ncrease scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions es achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in the	cussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and ac with both faculty and the DAC will continue i ese areas. These outcomes will be addressed	ljustments will l n response to th	ne need to
The Assessment Coordinator will continue to gather data at the end of the Fall 2021 and Spring 2022 semesters. Data will be analyzed by the June 2022 date listed above						

		Student Learning Outcon	ne 4			
Student Learning Outcome	Engage in pol	icy practice.				
Measurement Instrument 1	degree and status direct client cont supervised by an the field assessm demonstration of all dimensions o	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students participate in one or two field practicum experiences, depending on their undergraduate legree and status of admission into the MSW program. Traditional students will complete two field practicum experiences (900 hours of lirect client contact) and advanced standing students will complete one field practicum (500 hours of direct client contact). Students are supervised by an approved MSW-level social worker at a community agency. Students create an Individual Learning Plan, which is used as he field assessment tool, that outlines competencies and behaviors the student agrees to achieve. The field assessment score is based on a lemonstration of the competency in real or simulated practice situations in student's field setting. This is a performance measure assessing all dimensions of the competency including knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive and affective processes. Students are assessed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 being the minimum score a student must achieve in order to pass their field experience.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students are asse their field experi	essed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, ence.	with 3 being the minimum score a student m	ust achieve in order to pass		
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	89.7%		
Methods	71 students comp the student at the	ents enrolled in 560/561 (Generalist) and 660/661 pleted a field experience during the 2020-2021 aca e end of the first and second semesters in their field termine mastery of the SLO. Average of foundation	Idemic year (13 Generalist; 58 Specialist). State of the second s	tudent's field supervisor rates ond semester on Competency		
Measurement Instrument 2	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students complete a Social Policy Analysis/Formulation paper over the course of their 2 nd semester of the generalist year in SWRK 530: Foundation of Social Welfare Policy. This assignment is submitted electronically on Blackboard during the 15 th week of the semester. This assignment is downloaded and graded by the instructor using the rubric. Five rubric items, specifically rubric items 2-6, are recorded in an Excel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with the assessment coordinator. The assessment coordinator compiles this data and determines what percentage of students earned a minimum of 4 out of 5 on this item.					
Criteria for Student Success		rn a minimum average score of 4 on items 2-6 of th				
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	60.0%		
Methods	the rubric (item 2 (exemplary). Ru The assessment There were 15 st Analysis/Formul	f record downloads and grades students Social Pol 2; item 3; item 4; item 5; item 6) are used to assess abric items 2-6 are averaged and recorded in an Ex coordinator compiles this data and determines what tudents enrolled in SWRK 530 during the Spring 2 lation paper. The number of students achieving a 4 students who completed the assignment.	s policy practice. Students are scored on a sc cel Spreadsheet, which is then shared with th at percentage of students earned a minimum of 021 semester; however, only 14 completed th	ale of 1 (beginning) to 5 the assessment coordinator. of 4 out of 5 on these items. the Social Policy		
Measurement Instrument 3		res of student learning: Students in their final seme it with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives st				

the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to						-
annually by a PRE committee to ensure its applicability to social work content. These items were also mapped back to competencies set forth by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Criteria for Student Success Students are expected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to policy practice (i.e., items related to Competency 5 of CSWE's 2015 Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 68.6% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 8 questions on the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at leas one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWR 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follo						
forth by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Criteria for Student Success Students are expected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to policy practice (i.e., items related to Competency 5 of CSWE's 2015 Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 68.6% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 8 questions on the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Met Not Met Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for F11 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measure 1 one of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who by schee to be scene of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Folu				· · ·		
Criteria for Student Success Students are expected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to policy practice (i.e., items related to Competency 5 of CSWE's 2015 Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 68.6% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 8 questions on the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were exhosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are cont						ncies set
EPAS). Percent of Program Achieving Target 68.6% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 8 questions on the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Met AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measurer 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target form 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be	Contant of the Star Land Star a star	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 2015
Program Success Target for this Measurement 70% Percent of Program Achieving Target 68.6% Methods Direct: 60 students took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of students achieving a passing score overall. There were 8 questions on the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Met Not Met AV 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Dollow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)	Criteria for Student Success		pected to early a 70% of higher on items related to	policy practice (i.e., items related to Compete	ilcy 5 of CSWE	\$ 2013
the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to bette	Program Success Target for this	/	70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	68.6	i%
the PRE related to policy practice. The number of students who achieved 70% or higher were divided by the 60 students who took the exam. Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to bette	Methods	Direct: 60 stude	ents took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% of	of students achieving a passing score overall.	There were 8 qu	estions on
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. Met Not Met Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance						
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment						
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	Based on your results, circle or l	highlight whethe	r the program met the goal Student Learning O	utcome 4.	Mot	Not Mot
AY 2019-2020 results were discussed during the faculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be presented at the Departmental Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)					Met	INOU IVIEL
Advisory Committee (DAC) meeting, which is scheduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field placement), it was decided to increase the program success target from 70% to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	Actions (Describe the decision-ma	aking process and	actions planned for program improvement. The a	ctions should include a timeline.)		
to 85% due to a high number of students consistently meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2 none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores in those areas were exceeding expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	AY 2019-2020 results were discu	ssed during the f	aculty meeting held at the beginning of the AY 2	20-21. AY 2020-2021 results will also be p	presented at the	Departmental
expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	Advisory Committee (DAC) meet	ing, which is sche	eduled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field p	placement), it was decided to increase the prog	gram success targ	get from 70%
typically teach at least one section of this course. A new textbook was chosen for the advanced policy course as well as significant course revisions were made for both the generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	to 85% due to a high number of s	tudents consisten	tly meeting this benchmark. For Measurement 2	none of the rubrics were adjusted as scores i	in those areas we	ere exceeding
generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630). Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	expectations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), policy items were examined for reliability and validity. Additionally, an in-person training was developed for part-time faculty who					
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	typically teach at least one section	n of this course.	A new textbook was chosen for the advanced po	licy course as well as significant course revi	sions were made	e for both the
Data are continuously collected and will be updated by June 2022. As is standard, results will be discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and adjustments will be made accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	generalist and advanced level policy courses (SWRK 530; SWRK 630).					
accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	for follow-up. In	f follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvement	t.)	
improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	Data are continuously collected an	d will be updated	by June 2022. As is standard, results will be disc	ussed with MSW faculty and the DAC and ad	ljustments will b	e made
the MSW faculty and the DAC to develop performance enhancement strategies to better ensure student success. Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	accordingly. It is anticipated that these actions will increase scores on this SLO by 10%. Discussions with both faculty and the DAC will continue in response to the need to					
Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)	improve the areas as indicated by the low percentages achieved by the Online Generalist Cohort in these areas. These outcomes will be addressed and closely monitored by both					
	the MSW faculty and the DAC to	develop performa	nce enhancement strategies to better ensure studer	it success.		-
	Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Ple	ease describe your	assessment plan timetable for this outcome)			
				semesters. Data will be analyzed by the June	2022 date listed	above.

	Student Learning Outcome 5
Student Learning Outcome	Demonstrate engagement, assessment, intervention, and evaluation skills across client populations.
Measurement Instrument 1	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students participate in one or two field practicum experiences, depending on their undergraduate degree and status of admission into the MSW program. Traditional students will complete two field practicum experiences (900 hours of direct client contact) and advanced standing students will complete one field practicum (500 hours of direct client contact). Students are supervised by an approved MSW-level social worker at a community agency. Students create an Individual Learning Plan, which is used as the field assessment tool, that outlines competencies and behaviors the student agrees to achieve. The field assessment score is based on a demonstration of the competency in real or simulated practice situations in student's field setting. This is a performance measure assessing all dimensions of the competency including knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive and affective processes. Students are assessed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 being the minimum score a student must achieve in order to pass their field experience.

Criteria for Student Success		ssed by their field supervisor on a scale of 1 to 5,	with 3 being the minimum score a student m	ust achieve in or	rder to pass
	their field experie	ence.			
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	84.0	0%
Methods	71 students comp the student at the Competencies 6- individuals, fami organizations, an communities." C	ents enrolled in 560/561 (Generalist) and 660/661 pleted a field experience during the 2020-2021 aca end of the first and second semesters in their field 9 were averaged in order to determine mastery of lies, groups, organizations, and communities." Co d communities." Competency 8 states that studer Competency 9 states that students will "evaluate pro- verage of foundation-level and concentration-level	ademic year (13 Generalist; 58 Specialist). S d experience. The score at the end of the sec the SLO. Competency 6 states that students competency 7 states that students will "assess its will "intervene with individuals, families, ractice with individuals, families, groups, org	tudent's field su cond semester or will "engage wi individuals, fan groups, organiz	pervisor rates n th nilies, groups,
Measurement Instrument 2	(PRE) and pass in content areas of s licensure exams. annually by a PR forth by the Court	es of student learning: Students in their final seme t with a score of 70% or higher. The PRE gives st social work practice. The exam contains 100 mult These questions were written by faculty whose p E committee to ensure its applicability to social w ncil on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Edu	tudents the opportunity to demonstrate basic tiple choice questions in an objective format, orimary assignment is in that content area. Q york content. These items were also mapped acational Policy and Accreditation Standards	competency in e similar to socia uestions are revi back to compete (EPAS).	essential l work ewed encies set
Criteria for Student Success		ected to earn a 70% or higher on items related to e ns related to Competencies 6-9 of CSWE's 2015 I		aluation across	practice
Program Success Target for thi		70%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	57.:	5%
Methods	the PRE related t	ts took the PRE on March 29, 2021 with 70.0% o o engagement ($n = 11$), assessment ($n = 19$), inter domains and the number of students who achieve	vention $(n = 17)$, and evaluation $(n = 5)$. Stu	ident scores were	e averaged
Based on your results, circle or	highlight whether	the program met the goal Student Learning O	utcome 5.	Met	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-m	aking process and a	actions planned for program improvement. The ad	ctions should include a timeline.)		
AY 2019-20 results were discusse Advisory Committee (DAC) meet to 85% due to a high number of st For Measurement 2 none of the reliability and validity. All court	ed during the facult ting, which is sched tudents consistently rubrics were adjust ses will return to	y meeting held at the beginning of the AY 20-21. luled for Fall 2021. For Measure 1 (direct field pl meeting this benchmark. This training is availab ed as scores in those areas were exceeding expe hybrid format for the next academic year enhance academic performance.	AY 2020-2021 results will also be presente acement), it was decided to increase the prog le online so that Field Instructors are able to ctations. For Measure 3 (direct PRE), prac	gram success tar, access resources ctice items were	get from 70% s on demand. examined for
Follow-Up (Provide your timeling	e for follow-up. If	follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvemen	it.)	
Data are continuously collected a	and will be updated	by June 2022. As is standard, results will be cons, it is anticipated that scores will increase by 5%	discussed with MSW faculty and the DAC a		will be made

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

The Assessment Coordinator will continue to gather data at the end of the Fall 2021 and Spring 2022 semesters. Data will be analyzed by the June 2022 date as listed above. Rubrics used in the assessment process are attached to this document. These include the field learning plans for both generalist and specialization sequence as well as course rubrics. The PRE is not attached to this document due to the need for test item security of the Practice Readiness Exam.

SWRK 501 Rubric for SLO 1

		vith Individuals, Families	, Groups, Organizations	and Communities.	I	1
Dimension	1	2	3	4	5	Score/
Alada da lucaturada m	Beginning	Developing	Marginal	Proficient	Exemplary	Comment
Note to Instructor: Items 1 & 2						
C3: Advance Human Rights	and Social Economic ar	d Environmontal Justice				
1. Creation and	Vaguely defines and	Vaguely defines and	Weakly defines and	Thoroughly defines	Thoroughly defines	
Reflection of a Log of	describes the ism;	describes the ism;	describes the ism;	and describes the	and describes the	
collected "Ism's"	describes the isin,	describes the isin,	And includes a	ism;	ism;	
conceled isin s	AND does not	AND includes a	discussion of less	And includes a	13111,	
	include a discussion	discussion of less	than 3 of the	discussion or	And completely	Score
	of any of the	than 2 of the	following:	reflection of 3 of the	answers the	earned
	following:	following:	iono milgi	following:	following:	curreu
		10.101.181	Were you personally	10.101.181		<mark>X 7</mark>
	Were you personally	Were you personally	affected by the	Were you personally	Were you personally	
	affected by the	affected by the	incident? If so, in	affected by the	affected by the	
	incident? If so, in	incident? If so, in	what way?	incident? If so, in	incident? If so, in	Score
	what way?	what way?		what way?	what way?	earned
	,	,	Were any false	,	,	
	Were any false	Were any false	stereotypes about	Were any false	Were any false	
	stereotypes about	stereotypes about	certain cultural	stereotypes about	stereotypes about	
	certain cultural	certain cultural	groups promoted by	certain cultural	certain cultural	
	groups promoted by	groups promoted by	the incident?	groups promoted by	groups promoted by	
	the incident?	the incident?		the incident?	the incident?	
			If other people			
	If other people	If other people	witnessed the	If other people	If other people	
	witnessed the	witnessed the	incident, what were	witnessed the	witnessed the	
	incident, what were	incident, what were	their reactions?	incident, what were	incident, what were	
	their reactions?	their reactions?		their reactions?	their reactions?	

	Did you do anything					
	to confront the					
	oppressive situation					
	(such as telling					
	someone that a					
	racist remark was					
	inappropriate)? If					
	so, how did they					
	react to you?					
	,,				,,	
	What did the person					
	or group making the					
	offensive remark or					
	gesture seem to					
	hope to gain from					
	being racist or					
	sexist?	sexist?	sexist?	sexist?	sexist?	
	How does the					
	incident you					
	observed relate to					
	prior incidents you					
	have witnessed					
	concerning this					
	particular cultural					
	group?	group?	group?	group?	group?	
	How will the					
	incident impact your					
	future interactions					
	with the cultural					
	group being					
	marginalized?	marginalized?	marginalized?	marginalized?	marginalized?	
2. Types and Categories	Includes 0-4	Includes 5-9	Includes 10-14	Includes 15-19	Includes at least 20	
of Isms	incidents of isms in 1	incidents of isms in 2	incidents of isms in 3	incidents of isms in 4	incidents of isms in	Score
	different categories	different categories	different categories	different categories	at least 5 different	earned
	and across 1	and across 2	and across 3	and across 4	categories and	
	different mediums	different mediums	different mediums	different mediums		<mark>X 3</mark>

					across 5 different mediums	Score earned
Note to Instructor: Item 3 C6: Engage with Individuals, 3. Identification and	Families, Groups, Orgar Did not seek	izations, and Communi Get approval prior	ties Get approval prior	Get approval prior	Get approval prior	
attendance of a culturally different experience for immersion	approval prior to attending event; AND only observed	to attending event; BUT only observed	to attending event; AND engaged with 1 person	to attending event; AND engaged with 2-3 people	to attending event; AND engaged with more than 3 people	 Score earned
						<mark>X 5</mark> Score earned
Note to Instructor: Item 4 C2: Engage Diversity and Dif	ference in Practice					
4. Description of the experience	Thoroughly discusses 1 of the following: Context/Setting (e.g., physical space, etc.)	Thoroughly discusses 2 of the following: Context/Setting (e.g., physical space, etc.)	Thoroughly discusses 3 of the following: Context/Setting (e.g., physical space, etc.)	Thoroughly discusses ALL 4 of the following: Context/Setting (e.g., physical space, etc.)	Thoroughly discusses ALL 4 of the following: Context/Setting (e.g., physical space, etc.)	Score earned <mark>X 5</mark>
	Demographics (e.g., number of people, race, ethnicity, etc.) Emotional climate (e.g., warm, inviting, etc.)	Demographics (e.g., number of people, race, ethnicity, etc.) Emotional climate (e.g., warm, inviting, etc.)	Demographics (e.g., number of people, race, ethnicity, etc.) Emotional climate (e.g., warm, inviting, etc.)	Demographics (e.g., number of people, race, ethnicity, etc.) Emotional climate (e.g., warm, inviting, etc.)	Demographics (e.g., number of people, race, ethnicity, etc.) Emotional climate (e.g., warm, inviting, etc.)	Score earned

	mics, traditions, dy	ulture (e.g., group ynamics, traditions, tc.)	Culture (e.g., group dynamics, traditions, etc.)	Culture (e.g., group dynamics, traditions, etc.)	Culture (e.g., group dynamics, traditions, etc.) AND demonstrates a deep analysis of the environment	
Note to Instructor:						
Items 5						
C7: Assess with Individuals, Familie	es, Groups, Organizat	tions and Communitie	25			
	•	horoughly	Thoroughly	Thoroughly	Thoroughly	
Cultural Experience addre	esses 1 of the ad	ddresses 2-3 of the	addresses 4-5 of the	addresses 6 of the	addresses the	
follov	wing questions: fo	ollowing questions:	following questions:	following questions:	following 7	
• +	How was this •	How was this	• How was this	• How was this	questions:	Score
	experience	experience	experience	experience	How was this	earned
	different for	different for	different for	different for	experience	
У	/ou?	you?	you?	you?	different for	<mark>X 5</mark>
• [Did it force you •	Did it force you	• Did it force you	• Did it force you	you?	
t	o think outside	to think outside	to think outside	to think outside	 Did it force you 	
c	of your comfort	of your comfort	of your comfort	of your comfort	to think outside	Score
z	one?	zone?	zone?	zone?	of your comfort	earned
• V	What did you •	What did you	 What did you 	 What did you 	zone?	
l	earn that you	learn that you	learn that you	learn that you	 What did you 	
d	did not know	did not know	did not know	did not know	learn that you	
a	about the	about the	about the	about the	did not know	
с	cultural group	cultural group	cultural group	cultural group	about the	
t	hat you	that you	that you	that you	cultural group	
i	nvestigated?	investigated?	investigated?	investigated?	that you	
• V	What •	What	• What	• What	investigated?	
S	stereotypes	stereotypes	stereotypes	stereotypes	• What	
a	about the group	about the group	about the group	about the group	stereotypes	
v	were confirmed	were confirmed	were confirmed	were confirmed	about the group	
c	or rejected by	or rejected by	or rejected by	or rejected by	were confirmed	
у	/our	your	your	your	or rejected by	
e	experience?	experience?	experience?	experience?	your	
• F	How will you •	How will you	 How will you 	How will you	experience?	
r	elate to this	relate to this	relate to this	relate to this	How will you	
с	cultural group	cultural group	cultural group	cultural group	relate to this	
c	differently	differently	differently	differently	cultural group	

	meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal culture	process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture	AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture	process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture	AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture	X 3 Score earned
6. Oral Presentation to classmates	Does not clearly articulate EITHER the content (e.g., setting and	Clearly articulates EITHER the content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the	Clearly articulates EITHER the content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the	Clearly articulates BOTH the content (e.g., setting and meaning) AND the	Clearly articulates BOTH the content (e.g., setting and meaning) AND the	 Score earned
Note to Instructor: Items 6 & 7 C1: Demonstrate Ethical and	 life? What is your perception of the overall learning from this exercise? 	life? • What is your perception of the overall learning from this exercise?	 life? What is your perception of the overall learning from this exercise? 	life? • What is your perception of the overall learning from this exercise?	 professional life? What is your perception of the overall learning from this exercise? 	
	 based on your attendance at the event or function? How will this be different in both your personal and professional 	 based on your attendance at the event or function? How will this be different in both your personal and professional 	 based on your attendance at the event or function? How will this be different in both your personal and professional 	 based on your attendance at the event or function? How will this be different in both your personal and professional 	 differently based on your attendance at the event or function? How will this be different in both your personal and 	

OR more than 10	or 7-10 APA errors;	OR 4-6 APA errors;	OR 2-3 APA errors;	OR 0-1 APA errors;	
APA errors;					
	AND lacks flow and	AND lacks flow, but	AND paper is	AND paper is	<mark>X 2</mark>
AND is conceptually	is not logically	is conceptually	presented logically	logically and	
and/or logically	presented.	sound.	so that ideas flow	conceptually sound.	
unsound.			nicely.		Score
					earned

SWRK 510 Rubric for SLO 2

		Examplany	Proficient	panizations, and Com		Paginning	
	Dimension	Exemplary 5	4	Marginal 3	Developing 2	Beginning 1	Score
1.	Introduction & Conclusion	 Introduction includes ALL of the following: Purpose of the paper Conclusion includes ALL of the following: Restates the purpose and what was discussed in the paper Highlights important details (e.g., what do you want readers to remember) 	Includes 3 of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplary" category	Includes 2 of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplary" category	Includes 1 of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplary" category	Includes EITHER an introduction OR conclusion; BUT it does not address any of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplary" category	Score
Co	mments:						
2.	Selection of Topic	Paper includes ALL of the following:	Paper includes ALL of the items under the	Paper includes 2 of the items under	Paper includes 2 of the items under	Paper ONLY includes 1 of the items under the	

	 selecting topic Topic is appropriate for this assignment 	BUT at least 1 item is under- developed		category; AND at least 1 of those items is under-developed	category	X2 Score
Comments:						
Note to Instructor: Items 3 – C2: End	gage Diversity and Difference	ce in Practice				
3. Define the Problem	 Student includes ALL of the following: Definition of the problem Who defines the problem How prevalent is the problem Who is affected by the problem Includes racial/ethnic characteristics of those impacted 	Student includes ALL of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 item is under- developed	Student includes 3 of the items under the "Exemplary" category	Student includes 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category	Student ONLY includes 1 of the items under the "Exemplary" category	Score X4 Score
Comments:						
4. Historical Context	 Paper includes ALL of the following: How long has this issue impacted the area What problems have been identified 					Score X4 Score
Comments:				1	1	1
Note to Instructor:						

5. Theoretical	Paper includes ALL of	Paper includes	Paper includes 4	Paper includes 3	Paper includes	
Perspectives	 the following: Identifies at least 2 theories Provides a rationale for selecting theories Theories are appropriate with respect to the identified problem Strengths of each theoretical perspective are outlined Weaknesses of each theoretical perspective are outlined 	ALL of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 item is under- developed	of the items under the "Exemplary" category	of the items under the "Exemplary" category; OR at least 2 items are under- developed	LESS THAN 3 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; OR at least 3 items are under- developed	Score X6 Score
Comments:	Guinea			I	L	
6. Causes of the Problem	 Student identifies causes of the problem; What has caused this problem What are the consequences Provides support from the literature regarding causes; Links causes back to at least one of the chosen theoretical perspectives 	Student includes ALL of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 item is under- developed	Student includes 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category;	Student includes 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 of the included items is under- developed	Student ONLY includes 1 of the items under the "Exemplary" category;	Score X4 Score

7. Solutions	uate Practice with Individua Includes ALL of the	Includes ALL of	Includes 3 of the	Includes 2 of the	Includes 1 of the	
	following:	the items under	items under the	items under the	items under the	
	 Identifies at least 2 solutions attempted to address the identified problem 	the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 item is under-	"Exemplary" category;	"Exemplary" category; OR more than 1 item is under-	"Exemplary" category; OR ALL items are under-developed	Score
	Identifies successes	developed		developed		<u>^</u>
	 Identifies failures/ ineffectiveness Provides evidence from the literature 					Score
lote to Instructor:	as support					
Comments: Note to Instructor: Sem 8 – C3: Adva . Action Plan	as support ance Human Rights and So Paper addresses ALL of		Environmental Just	ice Addresses 2 of the	Addresses 1 of the	
lote to Instructor: em 8 – C3: Adva	ance Human Rights and So		Addresses 2 of the items under the		items under the	
lote to Instructor: em 8 – C3: Adva	Ince Human Rights and So	Addresses ALL of the items under	Addresses 2 of the	Addresses 2 of the items under the		
lote to Instructor: em 8 – C3: Adva	 Ince Human Rights and So Paper addresses ALL of the following: Identifies reasonable action steps social workers can take to 	Addresses ALL of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 item is under-	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary"	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; AND at least 1 of the included items	items under the "Exemplary"	Score
lote to Instructor: em 8 – C3: Adva	 Ince Human Rights and So Paper addresses ALL of the following: Identifies reasonable action steps social workers can take to raise awareness about this issue 	Addresses ALL of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary"	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; AND at least 1 of	items under the "Exemplary"	Score X6
lote to Instructor: em 8 – C3: Adva	 Ince Human Rights and So Paper addresses ALL of the following: Identifies reasonable action steps social workers can take to raise awareness 	Addresses ALL of the items under the "Exemplary" category; BUT at least 1 item is under-	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary"	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; AND at least 1 of the included items is under-	items under the "Exemplary"	

Note to Instructor:	an a suidh la dù à haola. Famil					
9. Interventions	 ene with Individuals, Fami Paper addresses ALL of the following: Micro-level interventions Mezzo-level interventions Macro-level interventions are tied back to at least one of the chosen theoretical perspectives Interventions are supported by peer- reviewed literature 		Addresses 3-4 of the items under the "Exemplary" category;	Addresses 2 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; OR more than 1 item is under- developed	Addresses ONLY 1 of the items under the "Exemplary" category; OR ALL of the items are under- developed	Score X4 Score
10. Video Link	Includes a link to a video showing one or more of the discussion points				Includes a link to a video BUT it is not relevant or no video	Score X3 Score
Comments: Note to Instructor:						
	onstrate Ethical and Profe				[_ ·	
11. Writing	Paper is written in APA 7 th ed. format (title, headers, headings, references)	Paper is written in APA 7 th ed. format (title, headers,	Paper is written in APA 7 th ed. format (title, headers,	Paper is written in APA 7 th ed. format (title, headers,	Paper does not follow APA 7 th ed. formatting (title, headers,	Score

	headings,	headings,	headings,	headings,
Paper has no	references)	references)	references)	references);
grammatical or spelling				
errors	Paper has 1-3	Paper has 4-6	Paper has 7-9	OR paper has
	grammatical or	grammatical or	grammatical or	more than 10
Paper includes at leas	spelling errors	spelling errors	spelling errors	grammatical or
5 peer-reviewed				spelling errors
sources	Paper includes 5	Paper includes 4	Paper includes 3	
	peer-reviewed	peer reviewed	peer-reviewed	OR includes less
Paper references the	sources	sources	sources	than 3 peer-
textbook				reviewed sources
	Paper references	Paper references	Paper does not	
Paper is 8-10 pages in	the textbook	the textbook	include reference	OR does not
length			to the text	include reference
	Paper is 8-10	Paper is 6-7		to the text
	pages in length	pages in length	Paper is 6-7	
			pages in length	OR paper is less
				than 6 pages in
				length
nments:				

SWRK 540 Rubric for SLO 3

C1 : D	SWRK 540: Research Proposal Paper Rubric C1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior; C4: Engage in Practice-Informed Research and Research-Informed Practice									
		Timeliness	10% deducted for each	day late)						
Dimension	1 Beginning	2 Developing	3 Marginal	4 Proficient	5 Exemplary	Score/ Comments				
1. Cover Page & Abstract	Includes a cover page and abstract that includes only 1 of the following characteristics of a cover page. and intro;	Includes a vague cover page and abstract that includes 2 of the following characteristics of a cover page and intro;	Includes a cover page and abstract that includes 2 of the following characteristics of a cover page and intro;	Includes a vague cover page and abstract that includes ALL of the following characteristics of a cover page and abstract;	Includes a thorough cover page and abstract that includes ALL of the following characteristics of a cover page and abstract;	Score earned				

Note to Instructor:	Abstract covering important aspects of proposed study; Properly formatted cover page; 2 pages	Abstract covering important aspects of proposed study; Properly formatted cover page; 2 pages	Abstract covering important aspects of proposed study; Properly formatted cover page; 2 pages	Abstract covering important aspects of proposed study; Properly formatted cover page; 2 pages	Abstract covering important aspects of proposed study; Properly formatted cover page; 2 pages	
Items 2-4	ice-Informed Research and	A Recearch Informed Brac	tico			
2. Introduction	Includes an introduction that includes only 1 of the following characteristics of an introduction; Clear Statement of Topic Introduction covering important aspects of problem investigated; 1-2 pages	Includes a vague introduction that includes 2 of the following characteristics of an introduction; Clear Statement of Topic Introduction covering important aspects of problem investigated; 1-2 pages	Includes an introduction that includes 2 of the following characteristics of an introduction; Clear Statement of Topic Introduction covering important aspects of problem investigated; 1-2 pages	Includes a vague introduction that includes ALL of the following characteristics of an introduction; Clear Statement of Topic Introduction covering important aspects of problem investigated; 1-2 pages	Includes a thorough introduction that includes ALL of the following characteristics of an introduction; Clear Statement of Topic Introduction covering important aspects of problem investigated; 1-2 pages	Score earned
3. Literature	Includes a literature	Includes a vague	Includes a literature	Includes a vague	Includes a thorough	
Review	review that includes only 1 of the following characteristics of a literature review	literature review that includes only 2 of the following characteristics of a literature review	review that includes only 2 of the following characteristics of a literature review	literature review that includes only ALL of the following characteristics of a literature review	literature review that includes only ALL of the following characteristics of a literature review	Score earned
	Literature Review covering important aspects of problem investigated (e.g. history, conflicting	Literature Review covering important aspects of problem investigated; (e.g. history, conflicting	Literature Review covering important aspects of problem investigated; (e.g. history, conflicting	Literature Review covering important aspects of problem investigated; (e.g. history, conflicting	Literature Review covering important aspects of problem investigated; (e.g. history, conflicting	X2 Score

	opinions, important terminology, theoretical perspectives);					
	Narrative flows from more general to specific sources on problem investigated	Narrative flows from more general to specific sources on problem investigated	Narrative flows from more general to specific sources on problem investigated	Narrative flows from more general to specific sources on problem investigated	Narrative flows from more general to specific sources on problem investigated	
	Minimum of 10 sources including book					
4. Methodology	Methodology is outlined but lacks logical flow; AND	Methodology is outlined but lacks logical flow; AND	Methodology is outlined and has logical flow; AND	Methodology is outlined and has logical flow; AND	Methodology is outlined and has logical flow; AND	
	Accurately includes 3-4 of the following dimensions:	Accurately includes 5- 7 of the following dimensions:	Accurately includes 5-7 of the following dimensions:	Accurately includes 8-9 of the following dimensions:	Accurately includes ALL of the following dimensions:	 Score
	Variables Procedures	Variables Procedures	Variables Procedures	Variables Procedures	Variables Procedures	earned <mark>X</mark> 3
	Sampling Plan Diversity Issues	Score earned				
	Statistical Procedures Instrument					
	Setting Participants	Setting Participants	Setting Participants	Setting Participants	Setting Participants	
	Ethics	Ethics	Ethics	Ethics	Ethics	

	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design					
Note to Instructor:	lote to Instructor:									
Item 5	ltem 5									
C1: Demonstrate Eth	ical and Professional Bel	navior								
5. Writing and APA Style	Significant APA and writing errors (e.g. spelling, punctuation, verb-tense, syntax. etc.) >10	Significant APA and writing errors (e.g. spelling, punctuation, verb-tense, syntax. etc.) >7	Significant APA and writing errors (e.g. spelling, punctuation, verb-tense, syntax. etc.) >5	Significant APA and writing errors (e.g. spelling, punctuation, verb-tense, syntax. etc.) >3	1 or fewer APA and writing errors (e.g. spelling, punctuation, verb-tense, syntax. etc.)	Score earned X 3 Score				
						earned				

SWRK 530 Rubric for SLO 4

	SWRK 530: Social Policy Analysis Paper							
	C1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior; C4: Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice;							
	C5: Engage in	Policy Practice; C9: Evaluate Pra	actice with Individuals, Families, Grou	ups, Organizations, and Commur	nities			
Dimension	Dimension 1 2 3 4 5 Score/							
Dimension Beginning Developing Marginal Proficient Exemplary Comments								

1. Introduction and	Includes EITHER an	Includes an introduction	Includes an introduction AND	Includes an introduction	Introduction includes ALL of	
Conclusion	introduction OR conclusion	AND conclusion;	conclusion;	AND conclusion;	the following:	
		BUT is missing 3 of the bulleted items under the exemplary category	BUT is missing 2 of the bulleted items under the exemplary category	BUT is missing 1 of the bulleted items under the exemplary category	 Brief introduction of topic; Advanced organizer outlining what will be covered in the rest of the paper; AND conclusion includes ALL of the following: Restates the purpose 	Score earned
					of the paper;	
					 Highlights important details covered in the 	
					paper;	
					 Identifies current proposals for policy 	
					reforms	
Items 2-6 C4: Engage in practice-inform	med research and research-inform	ed practice; C5: Engage in Polic	y Practice			
2. Delineation and	Scholar is missing 3 of the	Scholar is missing 2 of the	Scholar is missing 2 of the items	Scholar is missing one (1) of	Scholar includes ALL of the	
Overview of the Problem	items listed under the exemplary category.	items listed under the exemplary category.	listed under the exemplary category.	the items listed under the exemplary category;	following:	
	OR ALL items are under-	AND both are under-	OR includes ALL items under	OR includes ALL items	Identification of the	
	developed	developed	the exemplary category, BUT 2	under the exemplary	policyDefinition of the	
			areas are under-developed	category, BUT 1 area is under-developed	problem targeted by	<u> </u>
				under-developed	the policyContext of the policy	Score earned
					(i.e., how does this policy fit with other policies)	X 3
					Choice analysis (i.e., bases of social	Score earned
					allocation; types of social provisions; strategies for delivery of benefits; methods of	
3. Historical Analysis	Scholar is missing 3 of the	Scholar is missing 2 of the	Scholar is missing 2 of the items	Scholar is missing one (1) of	financing) Scholar includes a thorough	
	items listed under the exemplary category.	items listed under the exemplary category.	listed under the exemplary category.	the items listed under the exemplary category;	discussion of ALL of the following:	Score earned

							X 3
		OR ALL items are under- developed	AND both are under- developed	OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 2 areas are under-developed	OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 1 area is under-developed	 Policies and programs developed earlier to deal with the problem How the policy developed over time (supporters and opposition) What history tells us about effective/ ineffective approaches to the problem Extent to which policy incorporates lessons learned from history 	Score earned
4.	Social Analysis	Scholar is missing more than 3 of the items listed under the exemplary category. OR ALL items are under- developed	Scholar is missing 3 of the items listed under the exemplary category. OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 4-5 areas are under-developed	Scholar is missing 2 of the items listed under the exemplary category.	Scholar is missing one (1) of the items listed under the exemplary category; OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 1 area is under-developed	 Includes a thorough discussion of ALL of the following: Completeness of knowledge Solutions congruent with evidence? Population impacted (size, defining characteristics, distribution) Theories of human behavior Social values related to the problem & conflicts Goals and hypotheses 	Score earned X 3 Score earned
5.	Economic Analysis	Scholar is missing 3 of the items listed under the	Scholar is missing 2 of the items listed under the	Scholar is missing 2 of the items listed under the exemplary	Scholar is missing one (1) of the items listed under the	(Latent/ Implicit; Manifest/ Explicit) Includes ALL of the following:	
		exemplary category. OR ALL items are under- developed	exemplary category. AND both are under- developed	category. OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 2 areas are under-developed	exemplary category; OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 1 area is	 Macroeconomic impact Microeconomic impact Opportunity costs identified 	Score earned X 3
					under-developed	Cost/benefit analysis	Score earned

6. Political Analysis	Scholar is missing more than 3 of the items listed under the exemplary category. OR ALL items are under- developed	Scholar is missing 3 of the items listed under the exemplary category. OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 4-5 areas are under-developed	Scholar is missing 2 of the items listed under the exemplary category.	Scholar is missing one (1) of the items listed under the exemplary category; OR includes ALL items under the exemplary category, BUT 1 area is under-developed	 Includes ALL of the following: Major supporters Major opponents Are members of the target population included in development and implementation? Legitimization of policy Rational decision-making, incremental change, or change through conflict Political aspects of implementation 	Score earned X 3 Score earned
Item 7					Implementation	
	ividuals, Families, Groups, Orgar	nizations, and Communities				
7. Policy/Program Evaluation	Scholar does not include at least 1 of the following: • What are the outcomes	Scholar includes a ALL 3 of the following, but none are fully developed:	Scholar includes a ALL 3 of the following, but 2 are not fully developed:	Scholar includes a ALL 3 of the following, but 1 is not fully developed:	Scholar includes a thorough discussion of ALL 3 of the following:	Score earned
	 What are the outcomes so far in relation to the goals? Unintended 	 What are the outcomes so far in relation to the goals? 	 What are the outcomes so far in relation to the goals? Unintended consequences 	• What are the outcomes so far in relation to the goals?	• What are the outcomes so far in relation to the goals?	X 2
	Cost effectiveness?	 Unintended consequences Cost effectiveness? 	Cost effectiveness?	Unintended consequences Cost effectiveness?	 Unintended consequences Cost effectiveness? 	Score earned
Item 8						
C1: Demonstrate Ethical and F						
8. Writing and APA	Paper has more than 10 spelling and/or grammar errors.	Paper has 7-10 spelling and/or grammar errors; or 7-10 APA errors:	Paper has 4-6 spelling and/or grammar errors; OR 4-6 APA errors;	Paper has 2-3 spelling and/or grammar errors; OR 2-3 APA errors;	Paper has 0-1 spelling and/or grammar errors; OR 0-1 APA errors;	
	OR more than 10 APA	UI 1-10 APA errors;	UR 4-0 APA errors;	UK 2-3 APA errors;	UK U-I APA errors;	Score earned
errors;		AND includes at least 3 peer-reviewed sources	AND includes at least 7 peer- reviewed sources	AND includes at least 11 peer-reviewed sources;	AND includes at least 15 peer-reviewed sources;	X 2
	AND includes less than 3 peer-reviewed sources	AND lacks flow and is not logically presented.	AND lacks flow but is conceptually sound.	AND paper is presented logically so that ideas flow	AND paper is logically and conceptually sound.	Score earned
	AND is conceptually and/or logically unsound.			nicely.		



Department of Social Work MSW Learning Plan and Evaluation Generalist Year

Student Name:	School Term:
Field Agency:	Field Instructor:

Instructions for Evaluation: This chart indicates the standard for scoring the nine competencies. Under each competency, there are behavioral indicators to consider, in determining the overall scoring of each competency. The behaviors will have a "minus" if the student has not demonstrated the behavior, and a "check" if the student has demonstrated the behavior. This evaluation process will be done at the end of semester one and two of the field year. You will notice that the highest score possible for semester one is "3", which indicates students are not expected perform at a high level of mastery. A rating of N/A for overall competency or individual behaviors is allowed in semester one only, and means the student has not had a chance to demonstrate the behavior/competency yet. The highest score possible for semester two is "5", to allow opportunity to show growth in student performance from the first to the second semester. All behaviors must be demonstrated by the end of the second semester in order for the student to pass field.

Semester	Semester	
One	Two	
N/A		Student has not had a chance to practice the behaviors of the competency. A rating
		of Not Applicable (N/A) is allowed in semester one only.
1	1	Student is not able to demonstrate the behaviors of the competency at this time.
Fail	Fail	Student may or may not have a clear understanding of the competency.
2	2	Semester 1- Student is at a beginner's level in ability to demonstrate the behaviors of
Pass	Fail	this competency. Student may be able to demonstrate some but not all of the behaviors. Student may understand the competency and recognize it when he/she
		sees it. The student is expected to improve in this area with additional experience.
		Semester 2 – I have concerns about the student's performance related to this
		competency. Student has not consistently demonstrated the behaviors expected under this competency.
3	3	Student exhibits solid skills in this area and is able to demonstrate the behaviors of
Pass	Pass	this competency at the expected level for a student at this point in the internship. The
1 455	1 435	student is expected to improve in this area with additional experience.
	4	Student demonstrates the behaviors of this competency more consistently and
	Pass	seamlessly than most students at this point in the internship. The student could use
		additional experience to improve and refine skills in this area.
	5	Student consistently demonstrates competency above the expected level in this area-
	Pass	has work experience and/or natural gifts that enable her or him to perform at a
		higher level than expected at this point in the internship.

Competency #1: Intern demonstrates ethical and professional behavior.

	Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one) N/A 1 2 3			Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)				
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated. Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated

Semester

_	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
1.1	Makes ethical decisions by applying the standards of the NASW Code of Ethics, relevant laws and regulations, models for ethical decision-making, ethical conduct of research, and additional codes of ethics as appropriate to context		
1.2	Uses reflection and self-regulation to manage personal values and maintain professionalism in practice situations		
1.3	Demonstrates professional demeanor in behavior; appearance; and oral, written, and electronic communication		
1.4	Uses technology ethically and appropriately to facilitate practice outcomes		
1.5	Uses supervision and consultation to guide professional judgment and behavior		

Tasks:

- Review and comply with all "Agency" and "Field" policies.
- Consistently uphold professional standards in behavior and appearance.
- Actively ask for feedback on field performance each week, seeking out clear input from others on your perceived strengths and areas for development in practice.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #2: Intern engages diversity and difference in practice.

	Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)						Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)						
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5				

Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated. Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.

Semester

	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
2.1	Apply and communicate understanding of the importance of diversity and difference in shaping life experiences in practice at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels		
2.2	Present themselves as learners and engage clients and constituencies as experts of their own experiences		
2.3	Apply self-awareness and self-regulation to manage the influence of personal biases and values in working with diverse clients and constituencies		

Tasks:

- Research and read relevant articles pertaining to diverse populations in your field setting to enhance cultural sensitivity. Discuss with field instructor.
- Engage with clients that differ in age, class, gender, etc. and research pertinent information. With the use of reflective notes and supervision, discuss and evaluate your knowledge and sensitivity around recognizing differing cultural issues with field instructor.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #3: Intern advances human rights and social, economic, and environmental justice.

Se	Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)					
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5		

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.					
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2			
3.1	Apply their understanding of social, economic, and environmental justice to advocate for human rights at the individual and system levels					
3.2	Engage in practices that advance social, economic, and environmental justice					

Tasks:

- Participate in at least one community activity to advocate for human rights and social, economic, and environmental justice (community outreach events, and public policy meetings, advocacy groups).
- Identify and then discuss with field instructor the impact of oppression and discrimination on the delivery of services within your agency.
- Throughout placement, familiarize self with current political events (local, state, and national levels) and their real or potential effects on clients in your agency. Discuss these events as they arise with field instructor.
- •

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #4: Intern engages in practice-informed research and research-informed practice.

<u>Ser</u>	Semester 2 Final Score								
((Please circle one)								
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5

Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.

Semester

	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
4.1	Use practice experience and theory to inform scientific inquiry and research		
4.2	Apply critical thinking to engage in analysis of quantitative and qualitative research methods and research findings		
4.3	Use and translate research evidence to inform and improve practice, policy and service delivery		

Tasks:

- Read and analyze relevant literature which impacts service delivery in your agency. •
- Identify all research activities utilized by the agency including data collection/statistics, current research projects and program evaluation.
- Compile data around demographics regarding who is seeking services at agency. Explore ways to provide outreach to other populations.

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #5: Intern engages in policy practice.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)					
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5	

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Semeste			
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2		
5.1	Identify social policy at the local, state, and federal level that impacts well-being, service delivery, and access to social services				
5.2	Assess how social welfare and economic policies impact the delivery of and access to social services				
5.3	Apply critical thinking to analyze, formulate, and advocate for policies that advance human rights and social, economic, and environmental justice				

Tasks:

- Identify and list current public policies and relevant legislative issues that impact service provision to your agency/clients. Discuss with field instructor.
- Study history and current structure of your agency.
- Discuss with field instructor the funding streams, federal/state/local laws that govern services.
- •

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #6: Intern engages with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)						
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5		

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Semeste		
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2	
6.1	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks to engage with clients and constituencies			
6.2	Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills to effectively engage diverse clients and constituencies			

Tasks:

- Shadow and observe effective colleagues and other interns during interactions with clients. Debrief sessions with staff and field instructor.
- Assist, conduct and debrief client interviews with field instructor using agency formats; compare to classroom tools.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #7: Intern assesses individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

	Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)						
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5			

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Semester	
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
7.1	Collect and organize data, and apply critical thinking to interpret information from clients and constituencies		
7.2	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the analysis of assessment data from clients and constituencies		
7.3	Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives based on the critical assessment of strengths, needs, and challenges within clients and constituencies		
7.4	Select appropriate intervention strategies based on the assessment, research knowledge, and values and preferences of clients and constituencies		

Tasks:

- Complete quality assessments, case plans and case notes, per agency expectations. For each, seek specific feedback on strengths and shortcomings from field instructor.
- Arrange for supervisor to observe interviews/interactions with clients. After each observation, discuss with supervisor use of assessment skills, asking for specific feedback on strengths and areas for development.
- Provide an assessment of a client system using the context of person in environment.

•

Competency #8: Intern intervenes with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

communities.

	Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)N/A123					er 2 Final S se circle or		
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Semester		
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2	
8.1	Critically choose and implement interventions to achieve practice goals and enhance capacities of clients and constituencies			
8.2	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in interventions with clients and constituencies			
8.3	Use inter-professional collaboration as appropriate to achieve beneficial practice outcomes			
8.4	Negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of diverse clients and constituencies			
8.5	Facilitate effective transitions and endings that advance mutually agreed-on goals			

Tasks:

- Observe and participate in client treatment plans, case reviews and consultation. Discuss with field instructor.
- Identify contributions of other professionals in inter-professional collaborations. Discuss how effective inter-professional practice can support positive client system outcomes.
- Complete at least one psychosocial assessment and upon completion will discuss what social work theories and skills were used, as well as strengths and weaknesses in conducting this assessment.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

Competency #9: Intern evaluates practice with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)				Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)					
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Sem	ester
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
9.1	Select and use appropriate methods for evaluation of outcomes		
9.2	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the evaluation of outcomes		
9.3	Critically analyze, monitor, and evaluate intervention and program processes and outcomes		
9.4	Apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels		

Tasks:

- Discuss with field instructor what "critical thinking" means to professionals in the agency.
- Discuss with field instructor, the current agency strengths and weaknesses related to the incorporation of critical thinking into: Assessment; Prevention; Intervention; Evaluation
- Formally evaluate student work on cases. (Set up evaluation plan at outset; measure progress throughout.)

•

Semester 1 Comments:		
Semester 2 Comments:		

STUDENT NAME: _

Learning Plan Tasks Required Signatures (to be developed and signed by all parties within the first four weeks of beginning field placement)

gnature of Student/Date:	_
gnature of Field Instructor/Date:	
gnature of Field Liaison/Date:	

SEMESTER 1 MIDTERM COMMENTS:

Student/Date: ______ Field Instructor/Date: _____

Task Supervisor/Date: ______ Liaison/Date: _____

SEMESTER 1 FINAL EVALUATION:

Field Instructor Section: EACH COMPENTENCY MUST SCORE N/A, 2, OR HIGHER TO PASS FIELD.

Overall GRADE Student has earned: _____PASS _____FAIL

I attest this student has completed ______ field hours during this semester.

Comments:

Field Instructor/Date: ______

Student Section: I agree with the evaluation: YES or NO

(If the intern disagrees with the evaluation she/he should state that disagreement in writing and submit a copy to both the field instructor and the faculty liaison. A meeting between the student, field instructor, and faculty liaison should then be held to discuss the disagreement.)

	Comments:				
	Student Signature/Date				
	Comments:				
Liaison	Section: GRADES:	PASS	FAIL	Passed All Journal Assignments: YES or	NO
	Liaison Signature/Date:				

SEMESTER 2 MIDTERM COMMENTS:

Student/Date: ______ Field Instructor/Date: _____

Task Supervisor/Date: ______ Liaison/Date: _____

SEMESTER 2 FINAL EVALUATION:

Field Instructor Section: EACH COMPENTENCY MUST SCORE 3 OR ABOVE TO PASS FIELD.

Overall GRADE Student has earned: _____PASS _____FAIL

I attest this student has completed ______ field hours during this semester.

Comments:

Field Instructor/Date: _____

Student Section: I agree with the evaluation: YES or NO

(If the intern disagrees with the evaluation she/he should state that disagreement in writing and submit a copy to both the field instructor and the faculty liaison. A meeting between the student, field instructor, and faculty liaison should then be held to discuss the disagreement.)

	Comments:				
	Student Signature/Date:				
	Comments:				
Liaison	Section: GRADES:	_PASS	_FAIL	Passed All Journal Assignments: YES	or NO
	Liaison Signature/Date: _				



Department of Social Work MSW Learning Plan and Evaluation Specialized Year

Student Name:	_School Term:
Field Agency:	Field Instructor:

Instructions for Evaluation: This chart indicates the standard for scoring the nine competencies. Under each competency, there are behavioral indicators to consider, in determining the overall scoring of each competency. The behaviors will have a "minus" if the student has not demonstrated the behavior, and a "check" if the student has demonstrated the behavior. This evaluation process will be done at the end of semester one and two of the field year. You will notice that the highest score possible for semester one is "3", which indicates students are not expected perform at a high level of mastery. A rating of N/A for overall competency or individual behaviors is allowed in semester one only, and means the student has not had a chance to demonstrate the behavior/competency yet. The highest score possible for semester two is "5", to allow opportunity to show growth in student performance from the first to the second semester. All behaviors must be demonstrated by the end of the second semester in order for the student to pass field.

_		
Semester	Semester	
One	Two	
N/A		Student has not had a chance to practice the behaviors of the competency. A rating of Not Applicable (N/A) is allowed in semester one only.
1	1	Student is not able to demonstrate the behaviors of the competency at this time.
Fail	Fail	Student may or may not have a clear understanding of the competency.
2	2	Semester 1- Student is at a beginner's level in ability to demonstrate the behaviors of
Pass	Fail	this competency. Student may be able to demonstrate some but not all of the behaviors. Student may understand the competency and recognize it when he/she sees it. The student is expected to improve in this area with additional experience.
		Semester 2 – I have concerns about the student's performance related to this competency. Student has not consistently demonstrated the behaviors expected under this competency.
3	3	Student exhibits solid skills in this area and is able to demonstrate the behaviors of
Pass	Pass	this competency at the expected level for a student at this point in the internship. The student is expected to improve in this area with additional experience.
	4	Student demonstrates the behaviors of this competency more consistently and
	Pass	seamlessly than most students at this point in the internship. The student could use additional experience to improve and refine skills in this area.
	5	Student consistently demonstrates competency above the expected level in this area-
	Pass	has work experience and/or natural gifts that enable her or him to perform at a higher level than expected at this point in the internship.
		1

Competency #1: Intern demonstrates ethical and professional behavior.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)					
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5	

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Seme	ester
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
1.1	Make ethical decisions by applying the standards of the NASW Code of Ethics, relevant laws and regulations, models of ethical decision-making, ethical conduct of research, and additional codes of ethics as appropriate to a rural context		
1.2	Use reflection and self-regulation to manage personal values and maintain professionalism in rural practice situations		
1.3	Demonstrate professional demeanor in behavior; appearance; and oral, written and electronic communication both in rural communities and in practice settings		
1.4	Use technology ethically and appropriately to facilitate advanced practice outcomes in rural settings		
1.5	Use supervision and consultation to guide professional judgment and behavior		
1.6	Actively participate in professional social work associations/organizations		
1.7	Design and manage effective self-care strategies to reduce the likelihood of compassion fatigue and burnout		

Tasks:

- Review and comply with all "Agency" and "Field" policies, representing agency and self in a professional manner.
- Discuss self-care strategies with supervisor and develop a self-care plan for oneself.
- In each supervision session, look at NASW Code of Ethics and discuss areas that are relevant to the week's field work.

٠

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #2: Intern engages diversity and difference in practice.

	mester 1 F (Please cire	inal Score cle one)				er 2 Final se circle of		
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.				
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2		
2.1	Apply and communicate understanding of the importance of diversity and difference in shaping life experiences in practice at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels in rural settings				
2.2	Present themselves as learners and engage rural clients and constituencies as experts of their own experiences				
2.3	Utilize self-awareness and self-regulation to manage the influence of personal biases and values in working with diverse rural clients and constituencies				
2.4	Analyze the holistic and systemic nature of problems in rural settings taking care to attend to the special factors of rurality such as dual relationships, inadequate transportation, extreme poverty, difficult access to health care, and disenfranchisement from political processes				

Tasks:

- Discuss with supervisor all aspects of client diversity and social/economic discrimination that you come upon. Reflect on your own feelings about these differences and how you can grow in understanding and appreciation for them.
- Discuss issues of disparities and oppression with at least three clients from vulnerable populations, to glean from people's stories how social injustice operates in their lives.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #3: Intern advances human rights and social, economic, and environmental justice.

	<mark>mester 1 F</mark> (Please cir	Final Score Scle one)				e <mark>r 2 Final</mark> se circle o		
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Semester		
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2	
3.1	Engage in practices which demonstrate critical analysis of the intersections of social, economic, and environmental justice in rural contexts			
3.2	Advocate for appropriate resources and equal access to political, economic, and social power for rural clients			

Tasks:

- Identify field situations where social, economic and environmental factor intersect, and the impacts of these intersections on clients.
- Research advocacy methods and come up with at least two relevant actions that you will take on behalf of client issue(s).
- •

Semester 1 Comments:	
	_
Semester 2 Comments:	

Competency #4: Intern engages in practice-informed research and research-informed practice.

	mester 1 F (Please cire	inal Score cle one)				e r 2 Final se circle o		
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.

Semester

	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2			
4.1	Use practice experience and theoretical underpinning of evidence-based practice models to					
	inform scientific inquiry and research					
4.2	Apply advanced level critical thinking to engage in analysis of quantitative and qualitative research methods and research findings					
4.3	Integrate and adapt research evidence to inform and improve practice, policy and service delivery in rural settings					

Tasks:

- Critically analyze, and then discuss with field instructor, the current information gathered by the agency for purposes of assessment.
- Research best practices/evidence-based practice for one aspect of field practice and discuss strategies to implement with field instructor.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #5: Intern engages in policy practice.

	mester 1 F (Please cire	inal Score cle one)				e r 2 Final se circle o		
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Seme	ester
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
5.1	Identify social policy at the local, state, and federal level that impacts well-being, service delivery, and access to social services in rural settings		
5.2	Assess how social welfare and economic policies impact the delivery of and access to social services in rural areas		
5.3	Apply critical thinking to analyze, formulate, and advocate for policies that advance human rights and social, economic, and environmental justice in rural settings		

Tasks:

- Identify one policy relevant to agency services (within agency, state government, etc.) that needs changing or further development.
- Assume advocacy role in communicating policy needs to agency board/community leaders/administrators/legislators (pick one or more) and be available for follow-up as needed.
- •

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #6: Intern engages with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

	<mark>mester 1 F</mark> (Please cir	inal Score cle one)				e r 2 Final use circle o		
N/A	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5

Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated. Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated Semester

	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
6.1	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks to engage with rural clients and constituencies		
6.2	Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills at an advanced level to effectively engage diverse rural clients and constituencies ensuring informed consent		
6.3	Discern the most appropriate engagement strategy according to each practice context		

Tasks:

- Identify conceptual frameworks that explain development and impact on a client system.
- Arrange for supervisor to observe initial interviews/interactions with clients. After each observation, discuss with supervisor use of empathy and interviewing skills, asking for specific feedback on strengths and areas for development.
- In each supervision session, provide rationale for decisions made regarding engagement strategies.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #7: Intern assesses individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)				
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.					
_	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2			
7.1	Collect and organize client-driven data, and skillfully apply critical thinking to interpret information from rural clients and constituencies					
7.2	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the analysis of assessment data from rural clients and constituencies					
7.3	Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives based on the critical assessment of strengths, needs, and challenges within clients and constituencies in rural settings					
7.4	Modify appropriate intervention strategies based on the assessment, research knowledge, and values and preferences of rural clients and constituencies					
7.5	Consider aspects intrinsic in rural settings impacting assessment such as connections with church communities, neighbors, extended family, fictive kin, and other informal resources					

Tasks:

- Arrange for supervisor to observe assessment interviews with clients. After each observation, discuss with supervisor use of assessment skills, asking for specific feedback on strengths and areas for development.
- Develop assessments of a client system in the context of person in environment.
- In each supervision session, provide theoretical rationale for assessment strategies used and discuss strengths/shortcomings of these with field instructor.

٠

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #8: Intern intervenes with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)				
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Seme	ester
_	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2
8.1	Critically choose and implement interventions to achieve practice goals and enhance capacities of rural clients and constituencies		
8.2	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in interventions with rural clients and constituencies		
8.3	Use inter-professional collaboration as appropriate to achieve beneficial practice outcomes in rural settings		
8.4	Provide leadership in program development, administration and evaluation; clinical and organizational supervision; research development and utilization; and policy creation, reform and implementation		
8.5	Negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of diverse rural clients and constituencies		
8.6	Facilitate effective transitions and endings that advance mutually developed goals		

Tasks:

- Develop mutually agreed upon long and short term goals with assigned clients/groups.
- Facilitate group meetings for clients, agencies, or communities.
- Identify case situations where inter-professional alliances are in play and discuss dynamics of these with field instructor.
- •

Semester 1 Comments:

Competency #9: Intern evaluates practice with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.

Semester 1 Final Score (Please circle one)					Semester 2 Final Score (Please circle one)				
N/A	1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5

	Place a "checkmark" beside the behaviors demonstrated.	Semester		
	Place a "minus" beside the behaviors not yet demonstrated.	1	2	
9.1	Select and use appropriate methods for evaluation of outcomes in rural settings			
9.2	Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the evaluation of outcomes for rural clients and constituencies			
9.3	Critically analyze, appraise, and evaluate intervention and program processes and outcomes in rural contexts			
9.4	Apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels in rural settings			

Tasks:

- Develop methods to evaluate client progress towards goals for each service plan developed.
- Review, evaluate, and appraise current agency services. Process with field instructor in supervision and discuss ideas for improving services.

•

Semester 1 Comments:

Semester 2 Comments:

STUDENT NAME: _____

Learning Plan Tasks Required Signatures (to be developed and signed by all parties within the first four weeks of beginning field placement)

Signature of Student/Date: ______

Signature of Field Instructor/Date: _____

Signature of Field Liaison/Date: ______

SEMESTER 1 MIDTERM COMMENTS:

Student/Date:	Field Instructor/Date:

Task Supervisor/Date: _	
-------------------------	--

_____Liaison/Date: _____

SEMESTER 1 FINAL EVALUATION:

Field Instructor Section: EACH COMPENTENCY MUST SCORE N/A, 2, OR HIGHER TO PASS FIELD.

Overall GRADE Student has earned: _____PASS _____FAIL

I attest this student has completed ______ field hours during this semester.

Comments:	

Field Instructor/Date: ______

Student Section: I agree with the evaluation: YES or NO

(If the intern disagrees with the evaluation she/he should state that disagreement in writing and submit a copy to both the field instructor and the faculty liaison. A meeting between the student, field instructor, and faculty liaison should then be held to discuss the disagreement.)

Student Signature/Date	2:		
Comments:			
on Section: GRADES:	PASS	FAIL	Passed All Journal Assignments: YES or NO

Liaison Signature/Date: _____

SEMESTER 2 MIDTERM COMMENTS:

Student/Date: _______ Field Instructor/Date: ______

Task Supervisor/Date: ______ Liaison/Date: _____

SEMESTER 2 FINAL EVALUATION:

Field Instructor Section: EACH COMPENTENCY MUST SCORE 3 OR ABOVE TO PASS FIELD.

Overall GRADE Student has earned: _____PASS _____FAIL

I attest this student has completed ______ field hours during this semester.

Comments:

Field Instructor/Date:

Student Section: I agree with the evaluation: YES or NO

(If the intern disagrees with the evaluation she/he should state that disagreement in writing and submit a copy to both the field instructor and the faculty liaison. A meeting between the student, field instructor, and faculty liaison should then be held to discuss the disagreement.)

	Comments:				
	Student Signature/Date	e:			
	Comments:				
Liaison	Section: GRADES:	PASS	FAIL	Passed All Journal Assignments: YES	or NO
	Liaison Signature/Date	:			