| Assurance of Student Learning 2019-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ogden College of Science and Engineering |  | Chemistry Department |  |  |
|  |  | Chemistry BS (623) |  |  |
| Use this page to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be completed in the subsequent pages. |  |  |  |  |
| Student Learning Outcome 1: Our graduates will have the ability to communicate effectively in written form. |  |  |  |  |
| Instrument 1 Laboratory reports from CHEM 451 (Physical Chemistry Lab) |  |  |  |  |
| Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1. |  |  | Met | Not Met |
| Student Learning Outcome 2: Our graduates will have the ability to read and interpret data about chemical systems. |  |  |  |  |
| Instrument 1 | American Chemical Society Exam in Analytical Chemistry |  |  |  |
| Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2. |  |  | Met | Not Met |
| Student Learning Outcome 3: Our graduates will have an understanding of structure-property-function relationships for a variety of molecules. |  |  |  |  |
| Instrument 1 | American Chemical Society Exam in Organic Chemistry |  |  |  |
| Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. |  |  | Met | Not Met |
| Program Summary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Rubric for lab reports is being evaluated and refined to reflect input from multiple faculty evaluators. <br> 2. Content reviews provided to students are being refined as more data from the question analysis is collected. |  |  |  |  |

## Student Learning Outcome 1

| Student Learning Outcome | Our graduates will have the ability to communicate effectively in written form. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | The lab report for the Crystal Violet (CVL) and Adiabatic Expansion (AEL) Laboratories were chosen, as it requires students to collect and analyze data and report on the results of the experiment in a clear fashion. Students are expected to analyze the data and arrive at accurate (reasonable) conclusions from this data. They are further required to communicate these results in a clear and effective way in scientific writing. The CVL is perform early in the semester and the AEL is performed later in the term. <br> The instrument was assessed in a fashion consistent with the Written Communication VALUE Rubric from AAC\&U. Basic parameters for Context, Content, Conventions, Sources, and Syntax were rated on the 1 to 4 scale. |  |  |  |  |
| Criteria for Student Success | Students should score at average numerical ranking of 2.6 or higher on the 4 -level scale of the rubric. For the CVL, overall scores ranged from 1.6 to 4 with an average and median of 2.9. For the AEL, overall scores ranged from 2.4 to 4 with an average of 3.2. |  |  |  |  |
| Program Success Target for this Measurement |  | 75\% | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \text { for CVL } \\ & 85 \% \text { for AEL } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Methods | All 27 students in CHEM 451 course in 2019-2020 were scored on this lab report. The reports were all assess/rated by the instructors of record of the course for Fall 19 and Spring 20. |  |  |  |  |
| Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2. |  |  |  | Met | Not Met |
| Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| A training session for students was provided for the students in which they were given two sample reports. Report 1 was a Low Score example and Report 2 was a Hi Score example. Students were asked to determine the errors made in Report 1 and discuss them in a lab meeting during the first $25 \%$ of the semester. The training sessions will continue in order to collect additional student data. |  |  |  |  |  |

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)
The rubric will be evaluated and refined for appropriateness for scientific writing and additional faculty will be involved in future rounds of scoring these reports.

## Student Learning Outcome 2

| Student Learning Outcome 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Learning Outcome | Our graduates will have the ability to read and interpret data about chemical systems. |  |  |  |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | American Chemical Society Exam in Analytical Chemistry <br> This is a nationally-normed 50-question multiple choice exam given at the conclusion of the CHEM 330 (Quantitative Analysis) course (required of all majors and minors). |  |  |  |
| Criteria for Student Success | $50 \%$-tile ranking or higher |  |  |  |
| Program Success Target for this Measurement |  | 50\% of students taking the exam | Percent of Program Achieving Target | 57\% |
| Methods | This exam was not taken by all students in the course. Those who were already at a grade criteria above an A were allowed to opt out of the exam. |  |  |  |


| Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2. | Met | Not Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)
Course content is being evaluated in the context of exam topics. This exam is made available in an updated version approximately every two years. This update cycle allows the exam to reflect the current topical content recommended by the exam committee.
A question level analysis was completed for the Fall 2019 semester. Some topical coverage was adjusted (extended lecture time, additional examples or problem sets) to better reflect current content. The changes will be continued for the Fall 2020 semester in order to collect more data on student performance.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)
Students will be provided with a content review opportunity near the end of the semester. Choice of content will be guided by topics identified from both the question-level analysis of prior terms' exam results and from student requests.

## Student Learning Outcome 3

| Student Learning Outcome 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Learning Outcome | Our graduates will have an understanding of structure-property-function relationships for a variety of molecules. |  |  |  |  |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | American Chemical Society Exam in Organic Chemistry <br> This is a nationally-normed 50-question multiple choice exam given at the conclusion of the CHEM 342 (Organic Chemistry 2) course. |  |  |  |  |
| Criteria for Student Success | 50\%-tile ranking or higher |  |  |  |  |
| Program Success Target for th | Measurement | 50\% of students taking the exam | Percent of Program Achieving Target |  |  |
| Methods | This exam was taken by all students in the course. |  |  |  |  |
| Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. |  |  |  | Met | Not Met |

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)
Course content is being evaluated in the context of exam topics. This exam is made available in an updated version approximately every two years. This update cycle allows the exam to reflect the current topical content recommended by the exam committee.
A question level analysis was completed for the Fall 2019 semester. Some topical coverage was adjusted (extended lecture time, additional examples or problem sets) to better reflect current content. The changes will be continued for the Fall 2020 semester in order to collect more data on student performance.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)
Students will be provided with a content review opportunity near the end of the semester. Choice of content will be guided by topics identified from both the question-level analysis of prior terms' exam results and from student requests.
The percentage of students meeting the "program success target" increased from 38\% (AY 18/19) to $46 \%$ (AY 19/20). Additional analysis will be conducted on the Fall 2020 semester results to investigate student misconceptions.

