Assurance of Student Learning				
2019-2020				
Ogden College of Science and Engineering	School of Engineering and Applied Sciences			
Civil Engineering, 534/534P				

Use this page	e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be complet in the subsequent pages.
Student Lear	rning Outcome 1: Ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering,
science, and r	
Instrument 1	Apply rubric to solution examples from selected course exams
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1. Met Not Met
Student Lean	rning Outcome 2: Ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering
judgement to	draw conclusions.
Instrument 1	Apply Rubric to Lab & Project Reports from selected courses
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2. Met Not Met
Student Lear	rning Outcome 3: Ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences.
Instrument 1	Apply Rubrics to Artifacts (reports, posters, and presentations)
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met Not Met
Program Su	mmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)
work. In addi indicates the	ent of student performance under Student Learning Outcomes 1, 2 and 3 is acceptable according to rubric-based evaluation of stud ition, graduates are completing relevant courses with good grades, and student positive perception of skills learned. Program assessm curriculum for Civil Engineering prepares graduates with the abilities and skills needed to be successful practicing engineers. T Engineering Program will continue to prepare graduates with the same Student Learning Outcome activities and measures of odies.

		Student Learning O	utcom	ne 1		
Student Learning Outcome	Upon graduat	tion, our students have the ability to	dentif	Ty, formulate, and solve complex engi	neering probl	lems by
	applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.					
Measurement Instrument 1	A scoring rubric (attached) specifically structured to directly assess the attributes stated in the outcome is applied to final exam questions in CE 382 (Structural Analysis), CE 342 (Fluid Mechanics), and CE 332 (Transportation Engineering), which capture key aspects of civil engineering students' study. The rubric assesses 4 main attributes of problem solving. The selected courses have, at a minimum, EM 222 (Statics) as pre-requisites and utilize those specific skills in the solution of engineering problems. Furthermore, EM 222 has pre/co-requisites of MATH 137 (Calculus I) and PHYS 255 (University Physics I) pre-requisites. The CE courses are usually taken in the junior of senior year and students have by then developed strong analytical skills and have experience applying math and science concepts in earlier design course projects and in earlier engineering science courses.					
Criteria for Student Success	Numerical results from applying the rubric to student work should reach a minimum value of 3.0 on a 4.0 scale for senior level work. Scores of sophomore/junior level work may be somewhat lower, which can be used to track student development in the curriculum.					
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	Cohort Average of 3.0 on a 4.0 sca	le	Percent of Program Achieving Target	Cohort Ave	erage of 3.3
Methods	The final exam solutions from each student in the course is reviewed separately from course grading. Select questions are identified and the outcome rubric applied to assess achievement. Values from each student are recorded, and a class average is determined. This approach captures every student graduating in the assessment year, and students who are rising to senior status.					are
Based on your results, circle or	highlight whether	r the program met the goal Student Learn	ning Ou	itcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-m	aking process and	actions planned for program improvement.	The ac	tions should include a timeline.)		
The assessment of student p completing relevant courses complex engineering problem	berformance und with satisfactor ms by applying e same curriculu	der Outcome 1 is acceptable according ry grades in the curriculum assuring principles of engineering, science, and	ng to 1 that C d matl	rubric-based direct evaluation of stud CE graduates have the ability to ident hematics. The WKU Civil Engineering ing outcome with these measures. No	ify, formulate ng Program w	e, and solve vill continue
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	e for follow-up. If	follow-up has occurred, describe how the a	ctions a	above have resulted in program improvement	.)	
The civil engineering facul	lty will continu			l basis. The accrediting agency, A		s continual

Student Learning Outcome 1: Upon graduation, our students have the ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.

	Capstone	Miles	Benchmark	
	4	3	2	1
Calculation (Quantitative Literacy VALUE Rubric)	Calculations attempted are essentially all successful and sufficiently comprehensive to solve the problem. Calculations are also presented elegantly (clearly, concisely, etc.)	Calculations attempted are essentially all successful and sufficiently comprehensive to solve the problem.	Calculations attempted are either unsuccessful or represent only a portion of the calculations required to comprehensively solve the problem.	Calculations are attempted but are both unsuccessful and are not comprehensive.
Define Problem (Problem Solving VALUE Rubric)	Demonstrates the ability to construct a clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of all relevant contextual factors.	Demonstrates the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, and problem statement is adequately detailed.	Begins to demonstrate the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, but problem statement is superficial.	Demonstrates a limited ability in identifying a problem statement or related contextual factors.
Identify Strategies (Problem Solving VALUE Rubric)	Identifies and applies one or more approaches for solving the problem within a specific context.	Identifies and applies one or more approaches for solving the problem that generally applies within the specific context.	Identifies and applies one or more approaches for solving the problem that narrowly applies within a specific context.	Does not identify and apply one or more approaches for solving the problem within a specific context.
Solving Problems	Obtains the correct solution in a manner that addresses the problem statement	Has minor errors, but nearly obtains the correct solution in a manner that addresses the problem statement.	Has significant errors that results in an incorrect solution but still somewhat addresses the problem statement.	Has significant erros that results in an incorrect solution and does not apply or address the problem statement.

		Student Learning Outcom	me 2		
Student Learning Outcome	Upon graduation, our students have the ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgement to draw conclusions.				
Measurement Instrument 1	Program faculty apply a scoring rubric (attached), specifically structured to directly assess the attributes stated in the outcome, to selected student work from CE 310 (Strength of Materials Lab), CE 371 (Construction Materials Lab), ENGR 491 (Senior Project). These courses capture the many aspects of experimentation, analyzing and interpreting data, and the use of engineering judgement that are undertaken to prepare the students to be capable engineers. The rubric assesses 5 main attributes. The selected courses have engineering science pre-requisites and utilize those specific skills in the creation of feasible mechanical designs.				
Criteria for Student Success	Numerical results from applying the rubric to student work should reach a value of 3.0 on a 4.0 scale for senior level work. Scores of sophomore/junior level work may be somewhat lower, which can be used to track student development in the curriculum.				
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	Score of 3.0 out of 4.0	Percent of Program Achieving Target	Score 3.4 out of 4.0	
Methods	applied to asse approach capt	borts from each team in the course is reviews achievement. Values from each reportures every student graduating in the asses 00/ENGR491 results give a representation	rt are recorded, and a class average is a ssment year, and students who are rising	determined. ng to senior s	This status. As
Based on your results, circle or b	highlight whether	the program met the goal Student Learning C	Dutcome 2.	Met	Not Met
		actions planned for program improvement. The a			
completing these courses wi analyze and interpret data,	th satisfactory g and use enginee	er Outcome 2 is acceptable according to grades assuring that CE graduates have the ering judgement to draw conclusions. The and monitor this student learning outcom	he ability to develop and conduct appr he WKU Civil Engineering Program	ropriate expension will continue	rimentation, e to prepare
		follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions			
		e with program assessment on an annu t of student learning outcomes.	al basis. The accrediting agency, A	BET, require	es continual

Student Learning Outcome 2: Upon graduation, our students have the ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions

	Capstone	Miles	Benchmark	
	4	3	2	1
Design Process (Inquiry and Analysis VALUE Rubric)	All elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are skillfully developed. Appropriate methodology or theoretical frameworks may be synthesized from across disciplines or	Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are appropriately developed, however, more subtle elements are ignored or unaccounted for.	Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are missing, incorrectly developed, or unfocused.	Inquiry design demonstrates a misunderstanding of the methodology or theoretical framework.
Conclusions (Inquiry and Analysis VALUE Rubric)	from relevant subdisciplines. States a conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	States a conclusion focused solely on the inquiry findings. The conclusion arises specifically from and responds specifically to the inquiry findings.	States a general conclusion that, because it is so general, also applies beyond the scope of the inquiry findings.	States an ambiguous, illogical, or unsupportable conclusion from inquiry findings.
Compliance with Standards	Test performed in full compliance with applicable standard	Test performed in general compliance with standard with only minor procedural error that does not completely invalidate the result	Test performed in general compliance with standard, but a procedural error resulted in faulty results	Test not performed in compliance with standard and results invalid
Application of Results	Results of experiment applied completely and accurately to the situation	Results applied generally/conceptually correct with only a minor error	Results applied generally/conceptually correct with a few errors	Results not applied correctly to the situation
Designing an experiment or experimental procedure	Students select and/or design all appropriate test(s) or process(es) to the situation at hand.	Students generally select and/or design the appropriate test(s) or process (es) to the situation at hand.	Students select or design some appropriate tests or processes, with a notable error or omission.	Students select or design some appropriate tests or processes, with significant errors or omissions.

		Student Learning Outcon	ne 3				
Student Learning Outcome	Graduates of the civil engineering program should show an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences						
Measurement Instrument 1	Program faculty apply scoring rubrics (attached) specifically structured to directly assess 5 major attributes supporting the outcome, to selected student work from ENGR 490 (Senior Project). This course capture the many aspects of engineering communication that prepare the students to be effective communicators. The rubrics assess the Written, Graphical and Visual aspects of communication. The selected courses have students create artifacts which represent those specific skills.						
Criteria for Student Success	Numerical res work.	Numerical results from applying the rubric to student work should reach a value of 3.0 on a 4.0 scale for senior level work.					
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	3.0 out of 4.0	Percent of Program Achieving Target	Score of 3.	7 out of 4.0		
Methods	The written reports from each team in the course is reviewed separately from course grading. The outcome rubric is applied to assess achievement. Values from each report are recorded, and a class average is determined. This approach captures every student graduating in the assessment year. As such ENGR490/ENGR491 results give a representation of the graduating cohort through this capstone experience.						
Based on your results, circle or	highlight whether	the program met the goal Student Learning O	utcome 3.	Met	Not Met		
Actions (Describe the decision-m	aking process and a	actions planned for program improvement. The ad	ctions should include a timeline.)				
completing relevant courses	which produce	ler Outcome 3 is acceptable according to assessable communication artifacts with in f audiences. The WKU Civil Engineerir	the CE curriculum assuring that CE g	graduates have	e the ability		
curriculum content, and mor	nitor this studen	t learning outcome with these measures.	No need for programmatic adjustment	ts has been for			
		follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions					
		e with program assessment on an annua t of student learning outcomes.	I basis. The accrediting agency, A	BET, require	s continual		

Student Learning Outcome 3: Upon graduation, our students have the ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences. Written Communication Benchmark Capstone Milestones 2 3 1 4 Demonstrates a thorough Demonstrates adequate Demonstrates minimal **Context of and Purpose for** Demonstrates awareness of Writing understanding of context, consideration of context. context, audience, purpose. attention to context. audience. (Written Communication audience, and purpose that is audience, and purpose and a and to the assigned tasks(s) purpose, and to the assigned VALUE Rubric) responsive to the assigned clear focus on the assigned (e.g., begins to show tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of task(s) and focuses all elements task(s) (e.g., the task aligns awareness of audience's instructor or self as audience). of the work. with audience, purpose, and perceptions and assumptions). context). Uses appropriate, relevant, and Uses appropriate and relevant **Content Development** Uses appropriate, relevant, and Uses appropriate and relevant (Written Communication compelling content to illustrate compelling content to explore content to develop and explore content to develop simple mastery of the subject, VALUE Rubric) ideas within the context of the ideas through most of the ideas in some parts of the conveying the writer's discipline and shape the whole work. work. understanding, and shaping the work. whole work. Uses graceful language that Uses straightforward language Uses language that sometimes **Control of Syntax and** Uses language that generally Mechanics skillfully communicates meaning that generally conveys conveys meaning to readers impedes meaning because of meaning to readers. The (Written Communication to readers with clarity and with clarity, although writing errors in usage. VALUE Rubric) fluency, and is virtually error language in the portfolio has may include some errors. free. few errors. Interpretation Provides accurate explanations Provides accurate explanations Provides somewhat accurate Attempts to explain explanations of information (Quantitative Literacy of information presented in of information presented in information presented in VALUE Rubric) mathematical forms. Makes mathematical forms. For presented in mathematical mathematical forms, but draws appropriate inferences based on instance, accurately explains forms, but occasionally makes incorrect conclusions about what the that information. the trend data shown in a minor errors related to information means. graph. computations or units. Technical/Professional Technical/Professional Content Technical/Professional Technical/Professional information at an appropriate information at an appropriate information at a marginal level information unacceptable for level for course, Key concepts level for course, some concepts for course, many concepts course, most concepts unclear unclear or not discussed. and terms explained clearly. not completely clarified, or not discussed, reader gains Research and/or analysis of topic research and/or analysis of Reader gains little new no new knowledge or insight clearly evident Reader gains topic generally evident. Reader knowledge or insight significant new knowledge and gains some new knowledge and insight insight.